

Spearhead


£1.00

No. 332 OCTOBER 1996

ISSN 1364-7105

“Always talk confidently, not of how our race will suffer if we don’t win, but of how wonderful things will be when we grab back the reins of fate”

SEE PAGE 15



Young volunteers build a 101-step path in local stone for visitors to Durdle Door on the Dorset coast

WHAT WE THINK

None of our business

What Saddam Hussein is doing in Northern Iraq may be right or wrong, good or bad, according to your viewpoint. What is not in dispute is that this region of the world is Iraqi territory and what happens there is Iraq's business, not the Americans', not ours.

The problem in the area is that there are two Kurdish factions, one of them prepared to remain part of Iraq and one seeking to break away and form their own state — a scenario not unlike that in Northern Ireland.

Saddam Hussein is doing in his country exactly what we should be doing in ours: he is siding with his own loyalists and using what he regards as necessary force to preserve the integrity of his nation's territory.

Discounting some atrocity stories for the puerile fantasies that they surely are (in a *Sunday Telegraph* article last month Saddam was alleged to be dropping his opponents in vats of acid and gouging out the eyes of Kurdish children), we might acknowledge that some brutal methods may well be in use to put down the Kurdish rebellion. We cannot take it upon ourselves, however, to play governess everywhere in the world where

things are happening which are not to our liking. Nor can, or should, the Americans.

It has been widely canvassed that Clinton's move against Iraq is just a political stunt conceived with an imminent presidential election in mind. There is probably a good deal of truth in this.

There is, however, almost certainly more to it than that. A US Government official, when asked last year for the reasons behind his country's operations in Bosnia, replied: "We've got to show 'em who's boss!" This, though put in crude terms, fairly accurately represents the way America sees her role in the modern world. She is the global policeman, who intervenes with military action whenever and wherever one group of people attacks another — except, of course, when the attacker is Israel.

When Israel carried out a savage missile raid against Lebanon last year, killing many innocent women and children, President Clinton, far from chastising the Israelis, offered them his moral support!

John Major has expressed his moral support for the American action in Iraq. He shouldn't have done. Iraq's problems with the Kurds are none of our business. The conflict in Northern Ireland is our business.

We should tell other nations to keep their noses out of Northern Ireland.

And we should keep our noses out of Iraq.

Educationalists face the realities of race

"An educational 'underclass' with increasing numbers of African-Caribbean youngsters was revealed yesterday. They are falling drastically behind Whites and Asians in both examinations and school attendance."

Spearhead

No. 332 OCTOBER 1996

c/o PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW
Editor: John Tyndall Assistant Editor: Tom North

Spearhead exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British Nationalist opinion. It is privately published by its Editor and is independent of all political parties and groups.

Unless it is specifically stated otherwise, the views expressed in signed articles or letters in *Spearhead* are the sole responsibility of their writers and do not necessarily represent the views of the editor or the policies of any political organisation that *Spearhead* may support editorially.

The appearance of an advertisement in *Spearhead* does not necessarily indicate that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function being advertised.

Spearhead welcomes enquiries from prospective advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. Advertising matter, accompanied by pre-payment, must be submitted at least one month prior to the publishing date (normally the first day of the month) of the issue for which the advertisement is intended. The Editor reserves the right to refuse to publish advertisements submitted.

The Editor is pleased to receive from readers manuscripts for articles for possible publication, which should not normally be longer than 1,250 words unless specifically pre-arranged with the Editor, and should be sent on 3" computer disk or typed in double spacing. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Spearhead* copyright unless the writers specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles submitted for publication should he deem this necessary.

Those wishing to reprint *Spearhead* articles should ensure when doing so to give public acknowledgement to both the writer and to *Spearhead*, and to include in the acknowledgement the full name and address of the magazine.

With these words, the *Daily Mail* began a report on the 6th September in which it stated that government inspectors were at long last recognising facts about education in Britain that have long been evident to observers who are honest and lacking in ideological bias. These inspectors, the report said, found that Blacks had not shared in what it called "the generally improving rates of achievement" over the last ten years, and that in some areas their performance had worsened.

These "generally improving rates of achievement" are, as many of us know, due simply to the fact that school exams have been made progressively easier in order to enable the duller pupils to get passes. Even this, however, seems to have failed to show any better markings in the case of young Blacks.

Of course, the Government's educational inspectors, while recognising black under-achievement at school, still steadfastly refuse to acknowledge that this might have something to do with inherent racial aptitudes in learning. In their recent findings they state that achievement at the age of 16 depends on economic background but, said the *Mail*:

"Paradoxically, the report records dramatic increases in the examination performance of some ethnic minorities, especially Indians, even in poor areas."

Needless to say, we cannot realistically expect at this stage that Britain's educational establishment, riddled as it is with 'political correctness', will draw from its findings the conclusions that any rational person would do concerning the wisdom of having a large and increasing Afro-Caribbean population growing up in this country and demanding places in our schools. We can, however, feel some satisfaction at the findings themselves, which at least might be described as better late than never. Before we can start to do something about a problem, we have to start by acknowledging that the problem exists.

From the horse's mouth

"Richard always told me that to be a good journalist you had to be a good liar."
(Lynda, former wife of TV chat-show host Richard Madeley, quoted in the 'Richard and Judy' series in the *Daily Mail* last month)

"There's gold in them thar hills"


September saw an orgy of media speculation about the amount of 'Nazi gold' held in the vaults of banks in Switzerland. Various headlines shrieked indignantly over the allegations that the Swiss had at least \$500 million but had only handed over \$60 million to the Allies at the end of the war.

Now, *Spearhead* can hardly be accused of being friendly to banks as a rule, but it is clear that in this case the quietly efficient gnomes of Switzerland have behaved, up until now, entirely correctly. Although not widely

'reported over the last month, it is not disputed that a very large proportion of the 'Nazi gold' which ended up in Switzerland was, at all times, the legitimate property of the Reichsbank which had to be moved across the border to a neutral state so as to be available to help finance the German war effort.

Gold in this category which was not used for this purpose therefore still belongs to the German people or, at a push, the Bundesbank. The fact that the Germans have not rushed to claim it back is purely the result of the unnatural guilt complex which they have had beaten into them over the last fifty years, not an indication that it belongs to someone else. The Swiss have therefore no legal or moral grounds to do anything other than leave this gold to gather dust in the vaults.

Where it could be proved that specific quantities of bullion came from the government reserves of countries occupied by German forces during the war, there is of course a good case for handing over such



Greville Janner:
heading the new
gold rush

sums to the modern governments of the countries in question. The *Daily Telegraph* of September 11th, revealed that the Bank of England is still sitting on \$60 million in ex-'Nazi gold' while awaiting settlement of an outstanding dispute between Britain and Albania.

There is also no doubt that Swiss banks have a number of private accounts, opened by individuals from all over Europe as the fog of war descended, containing large sums and priceless valuables deposited either by their rightful owners or by those who had looted them. In this context it should be noted that there are many ways of acquiring other people's possessions. Looting in wartime is one — as American and Russian troops in particular found towards the end of the war — but another common source for the items in those Swiss banks would have been the impoverished Germans who were relieved of their family heirlooms by spivs whose foreign connections enabled them to supply loaves of bread during the great inflation which wracked Weimar Germany.

But whoever is the owner of the contents of a deposit box in a Swiss bank, there is absolutely nothing which the bank manager can do with them unless either that person or

their heirs furnish proof of ownership and ask for their return. The idea that all such unclaimed assets belong to Jews, with the implicit assumption that no other ethnic group suffered during the Europe-wide catastrophe of World War Two, is ludicrous. Certainly individual Jews and Jewish families did suffer and die during those years, but so did many more perfectly innocent civilians of a score of nationalities.

The *Jewish Chronicle* of September 6th contained a quote which summed it all up. The paper reported that one of the disputed items is a painting by the 15th century Italian artist Titian, which had been smuggled out of Germany by a woman who held joint German-Portuguese citizenship. The identity, nationality and religion of the last legitimate owner are unknown. The painting, now estimated to be worth at least 5 million, is believed to have been "deposited in a provincial branch of the Westminster Bank."

The *J.C.* quotes leading Labour MP Greville Janner, chairman of the Holocaust Educational Trust, as saying:-

"The first priority is to trace the owner or surviving descendants. If that fails, the Titian should be sold and the profits used for those remaining Holocaust survivors."

Of course, as all educated schoolchildren are aware, this is a perfectly reasonable suggestion. One suspects that far fewer of the victims of the modern education system understand the meaning of the Greek word *hubris*.

Yes, but....

The *Daily Mail*'s Littlejohn made one of his not infrequent digs at the Alice in Wonderland world of 'political correctness' on September 5th. He related how a friend of his had spent part of the summer surfing the Internet with his nine-year-old daughter. Apparently they clicked on one section which played and displayed the national anthems of the world. Says Littlejohn:-

"He was hugely impressed when his daughter, a pupil at their local state primary in North London, could sing all the verses of the Tanzanian national anthem, which has umpteen verses. When he congratulated her, she told him they had learned it in class.

"They then switched to Great Britain and God Save The Queen. She vaguely recognised the tune but didn't have a clue about the lyrics. 'We've never been taught it,' she said. This week she started at private school."

While we give the columnist full marks for bringing such a state of affairs to the attention of his readers, there is a less savoury question raised by the story: Mr. Littlejohn clearly approves of his friend's understandable decision to move his little girl to a private school. But do either of them give a thought to the untold number of ordinary working people who cannot possibly afford to do the same for their children?

We have a sneaking feeling that people like Mr. Littlejohn's friend, so quick to use their money to insulate themselves from the realities of life in multi-racial Britain, would be just as quick to sneer at 'Alf Garnett bigots' a few miles away in the East End who vote BNP.

Does this hypocrisy stem from cowardice or snobbery? And how bad do things have to get before such people find they can no longer afford either? We shall see.

The great question

Followers of the national and world news will by now be aware that last month a crisis of the greatest magnitude hit Britain. It was announced that there was a serious threat that the pop group Oasis might disband following an internal quarrel.

From the moment of the first shocking announcement, the TV networks featured many earnest discussions on the question of whether Oasis would survive as a pop group or not, during which intricate in-depth examinations were made of the towering personalities making up this unique group of musical geniuses, whom some have described as Britain's answer to Mozart and Beethoven.

As we go to press, it is expected that, if the Oasis crisis is not soon resolved, the Prime Minister will declare a state of emergency in a speech to the nation, televised simultaneously on all channels. Parliament is likely to reassemble, even though this may be during the scheduled recess this month. It is also possible — though as yet the rumour is unconfirmed — that the Archbishop of Canterbury will conduct a special prayer service in Westminster Abbey, to be attended by leading politicians, representatives of the main churches, the academic world, the arts, sport and every other important field of national affairs.

There is even a possibility that if the Oasis team do not mend their differences and continue making their quite unparalleled contribution to Britain's cultural life there will be serious disturbances on the streets and an escalating suicide rate among the young and not so young. Special police riot-control units have been put on standby in anticipation of widespread disorder in the major cities, and an urgent meeting of the National Lottery's Fast-track Appeal Response Committee for Emergencies is expected to approve funding for a bank of telephone advice lines for depressed fans.

All the nation — and indeed the whole world — will surely join with us in wishing that these young men, who in their dignified public bearing represent everything that makes today's generation proud to be British, will come together again and go on enriching our lives as they have done in the past. Should this not happen, it is feared that the group's demise will set the final seal on Britain's decline and render future national recovery hopeless.

The best President money can buy

YOU could almost hear the sigh of relief on the lips of America's capitalist elite when Boris Yeltsin won his run-off election against Gennady Zyuganov in Russia's Presidential race. But how did the sickly old alcoholic do it? In a word – money!

First it must be said that Yeltsin was born and raised and lived virtually all his adult life as a Communist. The same can be said of all the present leaders of the large parties in Russia, including Zhirinovsky and his so-called Nationalists. The choice is between capitalist Communists, communist Communists and nationalist Communists. A new non-Communist Russian leadership has not yet arisen because the fall of the Soviet Union was transitional rather than revolutionary.

When Mikhail Gorbachev decided to dismantle Communism he had nothing particular in mind to replace it. In the vacuum money naturally arose as the supreme arbiter. Who had the money? Corrupt Communist bosses who had profited from their positions in the *Ancien Régime* and the gangsters who worked with said corrupt officials; we will discuss these *Novye Russkie* ('New Russians') later. Waiting in the wings were the Western capitalists, ready to buy large Soviet enterprises lock, stock and barrel, and ready to buy the rights to establish new businesses in the promising new market. Along came Boris Yeltsin who made it clear that he was willing to sell rights, land and factories to the highest bidder, rather than allow Russian workers to run their own private enterprise cooperatives. Overnight, Yeltsin became the darling of the West and remains so regardless of what atrocities he might commit in Chechnya and regardless of his willingness to shoot up the Russian Parliament should it try to uphold the constitution against him. Boris was 'our' man in Russia and our rulers would see him victorious whatever the cost.

Perhaps Yeltsin thought that by selling off the economic essence of Russia – that is the enterprises which were actually successful – he could raise enough money to keep the government afloat long enough for the economy to turn round. His American economic advisors, led by Harvard professor Stephen Levy, continually assured him that this would happen. It didn't. Russia just sank further and further into the abyss and Yeltsin's early popularity evaporated.

By February of this year, the communist Communist Zyuganov was so far ahead in the polls that he visited the World Economic Forum in Davos, Switzerland to assure international capitalism that his coming government would pose no threat. His audience took home the opposite impressions and the Miracle of Money began. The month before, Yeltsin's approval

rating had dropped to a mere six per cent, with 65 per cent believing that Yeltsin was personally corrupt. The President's political chief of staff, Igor Mintusov, was convinced that victory was impossible: "At the (political strategy) meeting there was total pessimism, a total absence of hope. Of ten people who spoke, nine said (Yeltsin's candidacy) was senseless, a lost cause."

But suddenly money began to flow into Yeltsin's campaign coffers at an incredible pace and a super-secret staff of American political high-flyers was assembled in Moscow. These

of the money came from America, as the Russian campaign staffers can have been none too happy at being pushed aside so completely.

At first, Dyachenko apparently balked at the host of dirty tricks proposed by the Americans, but her moral scruples did not last long. From April the Americans forbade any objective coverage of the campaign by the press, radio and television (almost all of which are still state-controlled). They dictated how events would be described and interpreted by the media. They arranged for large groups of political activists to follow Yeltsin's opponents, heckling them and disrupting their meetings. Government workers were forced to attend and applaud appearances by Yeltsin near their workplaces – despite the fact that most had not even been paid for months. Yeltsin was packed off on a tour of the country during which he actually paid back-wages to workers in state-run enterprises and to government bureaucrats. Some of these were as much as six months in arrears, but where such vast sums of money came from has not been revealed.

The American 'advisors' insisted that Yeltsin should not debate with Zyuganov or speak for any length of time, so as to keep the true extent of his sickness and enfeeblement from the Russian electorate. Vast sums were spent on opinion polls and research in which different positions and slogans were tested. The most appealing were then adopted by the ideologically 'flexible' Yeltsin. The American team was even able to arrange a summit meeting between Clinton and Yeltsin in mid-April, scripting it to have Yeltsin lecture Clinton on Russia's great power prerogatives while the U.S. President humbly accepted the dressing down from his 'strong' Russian counterpart.

The Americans adopted a scare strategy and pumped a huge amount of money into mass media and direct mail adverts depicting Zyuganov as a man who would return Russia to the starvation of the 1930s and who would spark a new civil war (with the West by implication supporting the capitalist

"In Yeltsin's new capitalist Russia ordinary Russians get to worry once again – this time about unemployment, hunger and criminal terror. This is the system in which the US and the entire West has invested so much – a model for democracy in Eastern Europe. It cannot survive"

by FRANK MARTELL

included George Gorton and Joe Shumate, who had run California Governor Pete Wilson's campaigns, and Dick Dressner, who had masterminded Bill Clinton's campaigns for Governor in Arkansas. Yeltsin was paranoid that word of this American team would leak to the Russian public so he had his daughter, Tatiana Dyachenko, act as a go-between rather than risk being seen with them himself. The Americans quickly came to dominate the campaign, issuing their orders through Dyachenko, who set up her office across the hall from theirs in the President's Hotel. This domination seems inexplicable unless the bulk

faction just as it did in 1918-1920 during the first Civil War). Dressner put it this way: "Stick with Yeltsin and you will at least have calm – that was the line we wanted to convey. So the drumbeat about unrest kept pounding right till the end of the runoff round, when the final spots were all about the Soviets' repressive rule."

The official limit on campaign spending in Russia under the new constitution is about \$3 million. While the Russian government held Yeltsin's opponents to this figure, the minimum estimate published in the U.S. press is that his own campaign cost \$100 million. The maximum estimate is \$500 million. These are staggering

sums considering that an American presidential election can be bought for around two hundred million dollars, and considering just how much a dollar buys in Russia! In 1992, political consultant Ed Rollins, who had previously run Ronald Reagan's successful campaigns, was hired by billionaire Ross Perot to run his Presidential campaign. Rollins told Perot that he could win the American Presidency with a commitment of \$150 million, but Perot balked at the sum, agreeing to only \$75 million and then pulling out of the race. So Yeltsin had a fortune available. Where did this money come from?

The official story is that the new Russian capitalists who have emerged over the past four years suddenly got together and decided voluntarily to hand over an unprecedented percentage of their wealth to Yeltsin. But even in the far wealthier USA such sums are hard to come by and take years of well-organised fund-raising to acquire, and they would certainly be impossible to raise without the Jews who contribute more than 25 per cent of all funds given to the Republican Party and more than half of all the money raised for the Democrats.

Vast sums

The Jewish role in the Russian election has yet to be revealed, but although there is no doubt that they are very active in the country, it is far more likely that the sudden insertion of hundreds of millions of dollars into Yeltsin's previously moribund campaign came from the USA, via the secret funds of the CIA.

The CIA has long been in the business of buying elections in foreign countries, beginning with ensuring capitalist victories over the Communist parties of Italy and France in the elections after the Second World War. Furthermore, the American secret service often co-operated with the Russian secret service for particular purposes to achieve mutually advantageous goals in the depths of the Cold War. For example, researchers in the recently opened KGB archives have discovered that the CIA and KGB agreed to write a joint 'autobiography' of Nikita Khruschev for publication by *Time*, Inc. in the US. The 'memoirs' slanted history in ways that were of mutual benefit to the propaganda machines of both sides. *Time* participated in the conspiracy, pretending that the manuscript had been smuggled out of the USSR, despite the doubts of various experts as to its veracity. In the light of such past operations, is co-operation between the CIA and the new Russian secret services (run by old Russian communists and KGB veterans, but now openly pro-capitalist) far-fetched? Hardly. Indeed, considering the rapid assembly of a high-powered American political staff in Moscow, confident that they could control the Russian mass media and that virtually unlimited sums of money would be available to them, it is the only plausible scenario. It is also instructive to note that the US, usually so quick to point the finger at electoral-fixing overseas, has not criticised the unfairness of this blatant fraud.

So Western money has kept the long-suffering Russian Bear in chains. How long for is another matter entirely.

Rule by crooks, for crooks

Yeltsin's 'democracy' is a threadbare cloak for the looting of Russia by criminals and foreigners

Novye Russkie is the popular term for Russia's business class. While 40 per cent of the Russian people now live below the official poverty line, the minimum estimate is that there are 10,000 millionaires in Moscow alone.

"Everyone hates the *Novye Russkie*", says Oleg Bogomolev, director of the Institute of International Economics and Political Studies. Why? Because in Russia today the words of Balzac are particularly true: "Behind every great fortune is a great crime." The Russians know how their new 'leaders' acquired their wealth and so they have no respect for them.

It is not just Yeltsin who is widely believed to be corrupt. The evidence of corruption is everywhere. Contract killings are on the rise, up from 100 in 1992 to 500 so far this year, including the murder of 35 bankers, three MPs and one prominent journalist. The secrecy which surrounded the privatisation deals negotiated by Yeltsin's government reinforced the notion that the new capitalism was just another way to enrich the old *nomenklatura* -- the communist bureaucratic ruling class.



Bribery and extortion grease the wheels of industry; indeed nothing gets done in the Russian business world without this lubricant, and the criminals cannot flourish without government protection, hence the belief that Yeltsin too must be on the take.

Under Gorbachev the Russians complained about not having any variety on the shop shelves -- not having any luxuries to buy with their new-found cash. In trying to correct the repression, drabness and inflexibility of Soviet life he sacrificed its security and brought economic collapse. In Yeltsin's new capitalist Russia ordinary Russians get to worry once again -- this time about unemployment, hunger and criminal terror. This is the system in which the US and the entire West has invested so much -- a model for democracy in Eastern Europe. It cannot survive.

The Russians were duped this time, but eventually a new class of genuine racial nationalist revolutionaries will emerge to lead Russia out of this chaos, and no amount of money or chicanery will save the corrupt from their well-deserved fate.

Have you joined the Welling Club?

The Welling Club is a special group which exists to help raise money for the British National Party. Membership is open to all persons, whether or not BNP members, willing to contribute £ 20 each month to the party's national funds.

Welling Club members are sent regular bulletins informing them of the progress in achieving various important BNP targets which is being made with the help of their donations. They are also entitled to attend, free of charge, an annual club dinner addressed by the BNP Chairman.

Those wishing to join the Welling Club should apply, sending their initial £ 20 contribution (made out to the 'British National Party'), to: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent, DA16 3DW

PREPARING FOR WHICH WAR?

JOHN TYNDALL takes a look at the Eurofighter controversy and suggests that Britain's defence planning badly needs updating

IT WAS ANNOUNCED last month that Defence Secretary Michael Portillo had firmly committed Britain to adoption of the highly controversial Eurofighter as our main combat aircraft for the coming two decades. The plane, intended as a joint project between the UK, Germany, Italy and Spain, will involve a development cost presently estimated at £40 billion, of which our own share is expected to be £15.4 billion. All this, however, is provisional, as previous estimates have proved to be grossly short of the mark. Recently the Germans have been having second thoughts about the project, and Britain's latest affirmation of its backing for it is thought to be intended as a piece of pressure to pull them into line.

The Eurofighter will undoubtedly be the most sophisticated warplane ever built, but it will also be the most expensive. It is defended by the Government on the grounds that, its military value apart, it will provide employment in the aviation industry for 14,000 people. While we should welcome almost anything which gives a boost to this industry, at more than £1 million per head some will say that this is too high a price to pay. Coming from politicians in another place at another time, this employment argument might be credible, but considering the abandon with which Tory administrations since 1979 have left other vital sectors of British manufacturing to rot, and at times been much less than preferential to the defence sector itself, this emphasis on jobs for 14,000 has a rather hollow ring.

Defence top priority

Readers of this magazine over many years will know that we have constantly championed the cause of strong and independent British defences as well as advocating a buy-British policy in arms equipment. As part of this and in repudiation of the liberal-pacifist lobby, we have maintained that national defence should always have priority over all other public expenditure.

At the same time, we have always recognised that defence spending can get out of hand. There has never been a time — at least certainly not in the 20th century — when the limits of what is technically possible in weapons production have not run far ahead of what is financially sustainable by national economies. Even a nation with the economic resources of the United States has had to accept this, and numerous weapons projects have been shelved by the US Government on the grounds that their cost is not remotely justified by their military advantage.

Where the Eurofighter is concerned, the real argument is whether the colossal cost of this new plane is actually justified in terms of vital national military needs when related to the likely war contingencies of the future and to other demands involved in meeting those contingencies. Here is where we have to step back a pace or two and re-examine the whole basis upon which recent assumptions about British defence have been adopted.

The Eurofighter was first conceived in 1986, when it was decided that a new combat aircraft was needed to take on the Soviet MIG 29. It was immediately assumed that this would have to be a cross-border European project because the financial burden it would impose on any one nation was too heavy to bear, while at the same time it was thought not desirable to depend on an American substitute.

The latter consideration was a praiseworthy one which ought to have applied much more

"....the first interest is the national security of the United Kingdom, including, most crucially at the present time, Northern Ireland"

consistently in British defence planning than it has. However, one of the major objections to the Eurofighter today is that it has been rendered obsolete by the end of the cold war and the removal of any effective Soviet military threat. We in this magazine have always taken the argument a stage further than this by maintaining that no 'cold war' ever existed in the sense believed in by British and other Western military planners since 1945, and that Britain's military needs always have been, and always will be, essentially national ones to be determined by national interests and not linked to an assumed community of interests among those nations popularly lumped together as the 'free world'. We have again and again insisted that 'national' defence resting on this latter assumption and depending on that community of interests is not national defence at all but something else.

No Russian threat

But without wasting space on arguments about the past we can certainly join voices with those who state that there is no longer any cold war today. This fact makes a nonsense of the theory that a Russian attack on Western Europe provides the most likely scenario for an armed conflict involving Britain in the

coming years, and therefore of the supposition that the most probable future war is going to be one in which the Western European nations stand together in a common cause against a common enemy.

But the Eurofighter project can only begin to make sense if this supposition is accepted and if, moreover, we accept the equally improbable supposition that none of the participating nations will be involved in a serious armed conflict anywhere in which the others are not also involved. The Falklands War quite amply put that idea to rest.

The German hesitancy in going further with the Eurofighter is due to the recognition of post-cold war realities and to the fear that in view of those realities the plane might well turn out to be a gigantic white elephant soaking up vast financial resources that would be better spent on other defence projects of much likelier necessity. It is easy to understand the German point of view — which in fact is an echo of the much more decisive French point of view that preceded it. The French declined from the beginning to take part in the Eurofighter programme because they have traditionally been much more sceptical than we have about such international projects. Among all the major European powers, they have been the one to see most clearly the truth which we have propounded in these columns and of which I have reminded the reader earlier: that national defence, to be worthy of the expense and the commitment, must be precisely that — *national*; that is to say defence of national interests, depending on national resources, under national control and with its needs provided by national industries.

Shaky partnership

The Eurofighter, unlike the Spitfire, the Mosquito, the Vulcan bomber and the Harrier jumpjet that preceded it, is not a British aeroplane but only partially one. It cannot be produced without the co-operation of the Germans, the Italians and the Spanish. The first-named partners are already proving shaky. If they drop out, the burden on the remaining three will become all the bigger and there may well be more withdrawals. At the end of the day the only certainty of the RAF being supplied with the plane would lie in Britain taking on the entire programme on her own. At our present economic and industrial strength, this would be a crippling burden, and even with the British economy working to full potential the question would have to be asked: does the Eurofighter warrant such a priority?

Supporters of the project will reply that it does, and to back up their arguments they rely on projections of future conflict that are more fancy than anything else, being based on a world-picture that is essentially establishmentarian and ideological rather than rooted in any national realism. Russia, they claim, could well be as dangerous as in the past if power in that country fell into the hands of some military junta bent on reviving the Soviet Union. The simple answer to this is: only if Britain saw it as her business to interfere! Even before the 'cold war' was declared officially dead, the idea that the rulers of Russia, with all their immense internal problems, would stage an invasion of Western Europe was preposterous to all rational people. With these problems now increased manifold, that idea is more absurd than ever. A British defence strategy based on such a scenario is sheer James Bond fantasy.

The Arab bogey

Another theory emanating from the same stable is that the Eurofighter is justified by what was described in the *Daily Mail* last month as "the new threat from unstable Middle East countries..." But just what kind of a threat is this? The countries concerned belong essentially to the Third World. They do not have economic and industrial resources remotely approaching those of Britain, even in her present condition. Their military ineptitude has been repeatedly demonstrated against tiny Israel and again against the allied coalition in the Gulf War of 1991. Of course, theoretically, by starving its people and borrowing heavily on some pirated western expertise, some Middle East country (Iraq perhaps?) could develop some weapon capability able to give serious problems to any western nation that tangled with it. But this opens up the question of why Britain or any other such nation should bother to do so. Neither Iraq nor any of its allegedly 'unstable' neighbours threatens any vital national interest of any of the western powers, including ourselves. Countries of this type are only likely to create problems for us if we see it as our business to interfere in those strategic theatres that they rightly consider their own preserve. This of course we are liable to do as long as we are governed by the 'New World Order' mentality. In this regard the chief culprit today is indeed the United States, which has taken upon itself the role of 'world's policeman', with both the right and duty to take military action anywhere on the planet where political developments are not to its

liking, quite irrespective of whether the essential security and interests of America are thereby threatened. The Americans' chieflapdog in these adventures is Britain, whose compliance can be almost assured as a matter of ingrained habit conditioned by decades of subservience, even though British moral support is not always backed up by practical help at military level — Clinton's recent strikes against Iraq being a case in point.

A lot of waffle is emitted these days about allegedly 'fanatical' Arab or Moslem rulers who might plunge the world into a new armageddon if not kept under firm control by resolute western diplomacy backed up, where thought necessary, by military chastisement. In reality, these Arab and Moslem leaders are

century, in as far as it has involved outside powers, can be traced to this factor.

The spending of large sums of the British taxpayers' money on our armed forces is only justified where those forces are deployed in defence of really vital British interests. The time is long overdue for a thorough review of what those vital interests are.

National security first

The first interest should hardly need stating. It is the national security of the United Kingdom, meaning the protection of the borders and sovereignty of that Kingdom and every part thereof, including, most crucially at the present time, Northern Ireland.

Bound up inseparably with this is the security of any adjacent area of the world a threat to

which would *ipso facto* constitute a threat to the United Kingdom. This, however, is merely to state a general principle. In terms of present-day realities no such area exists to which there is any such threat, nor likely to be in the foreseeable future. Stating this another way, it could be said that where there is an area of Europe (where else?) from which a threat to the United Kingdom might be strategically possible there is no nearby power of any significance that might have any political interest in posing such a threat in practice.


Beyond this most obvious interest in the security of our islands, our interests may be defined as follows:-

The security of any areas or states of the outside world with which it is to Britain's advantage to have close economic and/or political associations and which associations would be

jeopardised by such areas or states being invaded and thereby assuming a sovereignty different to that existing at present.

Specifically, the areas which come into this category are Canada and Australasia. This is not to state that there is much in the way of current economic or political associations with the peoples of these areas that would be greatly affected by any changes of sovereignty of the kind mentioned, only that the areas in question are of vital importance to Britain in the way of their potential for future association. That this fact is not recognised as any part of the contemporary political orthodoxy in Britain does not make it any the less true. That it is not recognised in the current mainstream politics of Canada, Australia or New Zealand does not make it any the less true.

Cont. overleaf



rather more intelligent and rational men than we give them credit for being. While their means of causing conflagrations are much more limited than supposed, it is also true to say that they would only venture to use those means when driven to desperation by western meddling in their local affairs. The present trouble in Northern Iraq, for instance, could easily have been avoided had America and its British lackeys been content to leave the region to the devices of its local contending parties on the grounds that no vital US or British interest is at stake there. Of course, we know that the real interest being fought for by the Americans is that of Israel, and that the operations of Saddam Hussein are being opposed because they are perceived, if not directly then indirectly, to be a potential threat to the Jewish State. Virtually all the turmoil in the Middle East in the past half-

Cont. from page 7

In the long-term future, serious military threats to either or both these regions of the world are not inconceivable — in particular a threat to Australasia by a major Asiatic power. At the moment, however, such threats are too remote for them to be taken into account in present defence planning.

Weapons technology is something which, by its nature, can only be adapted to short and medium-term military needs. Beyond such a time-span it is likely to become obsolete — especially at today's rate of progress in this field. The Eurofighter is scheduled to enter service with the RAF in 2001 and perhaps to be in the front line of modern aircraft for some 10-15 years after that, beyond which time we cannot say that its capabilities will not have been overtaken by those of other fighter planes incorporating new marvels of science. Those who claim that its cost is excessive given likely contingencies of conflict within this time-span have a very strong case — particularly when that cost is measured by other military demands on the public purse. We should at this point remind ourselves of an often forgotten truth: Military strength can be of vital importance in the protection and furtherance of national interests, but it is not all-important; its importance relates to particular situations and times. Out of situation and time, it has little relevance to a nation's progression in the struggle for power. As an example, the United States grew to greatness in the 19th and early 20th centuries while possessing very little in the way of military strength but through immense expansion of population and territory and economic development. It was upon that expansion that her subsequent military strength, and thus the further development of her power, was based. More recently, Japan has been a virtual nonentity as a military power since her defeat in 1945 but, concentrating all her energies and capital on economic development, she has now arisen almost to the status of super-power. From this base, she could now, if the situation required, quickly mobilise her gigantic industrial strength in the development of a truly formidable military machine able to take on all comers.

Economy the priority

Prudent British statesmanship (if such a thing existed today) would recognise that the immediate needs of British policy are mainly those of political and economic transformation so as to lay the foundations for future national growth. Military strength, though vital in the meeting of current requirements, is not of such priority as to justify gigantic expenditures that could exhaust the nation economically at a time when no armed conflict with a major power is in the offing. 'Current requirements' must here be carefully defined. The defence of Northern Ireland is certainly the most important one, but the capacity to mount another Falklands operation, should that

become necessary, rates high on the list of other requirements. Beyond this, our major defence priority should be simply to maintain in good condition our military institutions and their traditions so that, at any future time when a major national mobilisation of armed strength is required, we can undertake it without too much difficulty. Essential to this is adequate military training for all our young men by the restoration of some form of national service and the upkeep of a strong spirit of military consciousness and pride in the entire population by way of the proper schooling of our youth and of adequate public information from a cleansed mass media.

The financing of these basic needs has a far higher priority at the present time than

that, at present establishment, we could not mount an operation to reclaim the Falklands as was done in 1982. Troops were hastily withdrawn from Northern Ireland as part of wishful thinking that the vaunted ceasefire would spare us the cost of stationing them there — only for them to be sent back in again after that ceasefire came to its predictable collapse. Service morale is plummeting almost monthly due to commanders being required to maintain their units at a strength far below that required for proper operational effectiveness.

And yet now, against the background of these frantic economies, we have a Defence Secretary announcing a commitment to go ahead with a weapons project of dubious necessity which will amount to a cost of over £600 to every British family!

Most certainly, we need to keep our weapons industry in business — but no more importantly than our motor, civil aircraft, railway, domestic electronics and countless other industries that have been allowed to fall by the wayside or into foreign ownership by the unregulated frenzies of Tory capitalism. Why the belated concern about one and the airy dismissal of the others?

Panic reaction

The picture all this presents is not that of a Government that has sat down to assess coolly and rationally the needs of Britain's defences in the coming decade and embarked on a programme to meet those needs with determination, consistency and foresight, but one prone to panic reactions to every momentary pressure, jumping first this way and then that way as expediency dictates, with judgement largely influenced by the opinions of the last 'expert' to whom the appropriate minister has spoken. Most probably, the Eurofighter decision stems from a combination of smooth talk from the Institute for Strategic Studies (a globalist think-tank) and an impulse to show Britain's skilled industrial workers that, after all that has gone before, our leaders really do 'care' about their livelihoods and their future! Added to this is the need for this Tory administration, every so often, to make a gesture to public opinion that can be presented as having a 'patriotic' flavour — lest people get to thinking (perish the idea!) that Mr. Major and his colleagues are weak in their resolution to 'back Britain'!


All this is a pathetic substitute for a defence policy, but it should hardly be expected that the most incompetent government in Britain's history should think and act otherwise.

FREEDOM VIDEOS

For your copy of our latest catalogue of nationalist, revisionist and music videos (VHS) send two first-class stamps to:

Freedom Videos, Box 1, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hants, GU33 7DD.

Hysterical 'hens' singe own feathers in panic attack. A. DUCK reports



On the night of Friday, September 6th, opponents of free speech launched the latest attack in their campaign to prevent rational debate on the key political and historical questions of our time.

The latest display of intolerance was an arson attack on the premises of a South of England printer, who is widely known as being willing to print for nationalist and revisionist organisations. The arsonists clearly intended to burn down the entire printworks, but panicked when an alarm went off, and only managed to cause minor fire damage in an ancillary office. The incompetent firebugs would be particularly upset to learn that they walked straight past a large pile of copies of *The Fable of the Ducks and the Hens*, heading on their way to deserving addresses all over the country.

This superbly illustrated cartoon book appears at first sight to be a children's story about the problems created for a community of hard-working, honest and gullible ducks by the arrival in their midst of a flock of scheming, manipulative hens. Yet a closer examination of the graphics and the accompanying rhymes reveals that *The Fable of the Ducks and the Hens* is a

classic modern example of the centuries' old tradition of presenting biting political satire as a tale for the very young. The Fable is a direct descendant of ditties such as the Hokey Cokey, which started out as a devastatingly effective Protestant jibe against the Catholic Mass, and nursery rhymes such as "Rock-a-bye-baby" which, it was said, "laughed James II out of his kingdom."

It is not clear whether the arson attack was a direct response to the publication of *The Fable*, or a more general attempt to halt the output of a wider variety of nationalist and revisionist publications. The latter seems a more likely motive, but if that is the case, the enemies of free speech have made a serious miscalculation: If just a couple of attacks had been successfully carried out in just a few countries some twenty years ago, the then much smaller nationalist and revisionist movements might well have been paralysed. Even five or ten years ago, a similar campaign would have had a devastating impact on our ability to produce propaganda and to spread dissident ideas around the world.

Sophisticated

But the spread of new technology, such as sophisticated Desk Top Publishing programmes; short-run printing machines for pamphlets and books; fax machines; videos, and the Internet, has made it possible – even desirable – to run a sophisticated propaganda machine with only occasional recourse to a professional printer. When the services of such a printer are required, it is also a fact that increased competition from the same new technology has made many more printers willing to handle controversial political material for ready cash.

So attacks such as this, even if more 'successful', will not stop the further spread of the ideas which are destined to dominate the coming century. The same can also be said of the complimentary campaign – being run by people most remarkably like the hens in the story – to silence dissidents

by even more dictatorial application of the Race Relations laws which they have forced on the good-hearted peoples of the West.

These acts of spite and crude repression are doomed to failure, and in fact their only result will be to hasten the creation of a totally independent, highly security-conscious and extremely well-motivated racial nationalist movement with a great deal of public sympathy. But in spite of this there are sure to be more such assaults on the truth in the future. If this stupidity is incomprehensible to the Western mind, with its traditional attachment to empirical investigation, rational debate and freedom, that is because it is the product of an Oriental mentality. This alien mindset is characterised by a fanatical adherence to superstitions such as 'equality' and the 'Holocaust,' and by the urge to a despotism with the power to consign opponents to spiritual and physical torment and oblivion. **The more inconvenient facts and the courage of Western dissidents expose the weaknesses of the Oriental world-view, the more its adherents – whatever their race – will be prone to hysterical over-reaction. Cluck, cluck!**

The Fable of the Ducks and the Hens is available for five pounds incl. p&p from *The Rune*, PO Box 301, Carshalton, Surrey, SM5 4QW.

OBITUARY Peter Fowler

We were saddened to hear of the death of Peter Fowler, who for some years was Cardiff and South Wales Organiser of the British National Party.

Peter Fowler was a life-long nationalist. In his earlier days he was a supporter of Sir Oswald Mosley's Union Movement. Soon after the BNP was founded in 1982, Mr. Fowler joined and shortly afterwards formed the Cardiff unit. He was a popular organiser and built up the unit methodically with the result that it was able to fight the Cardiff North seat in the General Election of 1992.

One of Mr. Fowler's other main achievements was the publication of a well-written local BNP newsletter, *Response*, which was admired and widely read throughout and outside South Wales. From time to time he also contributed some thoughtful articles for *Spearhead*.

Peter Fowler will be very much missed by all those who knew him.

SPEARHEAD SUPPORT FUND

Income from the sales of our magazine is not enough to cover production and administrative costs. We therefore rely on regular donations from our supporters so that we may remain solvent.

Because of the controversial nature of the opinions expressed in these columns, it is impossible to induce wholesale distributors to handle our magazine. Hence our need for additional financial support.

Please send all contributions to: Spearhead, c/o PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please note that receipts are not normally sent for donations of less than £10 unless specifically requested, in which case an SAE would be much appreciated. Thank you.

DENNIS WHITING offers a different perspective on Animal instincts and moral codes

RECENT *Spearheads* have featured a sequence of rather sombre articles discussing in general terms the failure of the white man to stand up and fight for what is his own. In the July issue there is John Tyndall on World Overpopulation and Nick Griffin's article, *Woe to the Vanquished*; in the August issue *Nature's Eternal Morality* by Ellen Strachan; and back in May a rhapsodical piece by Richard Swain, presenting our nationalist cause as a quasi-religious "Holy and eternal mission." All these articles put forward the view that the exigencies of our present and future struggle for survival as a race justify a new code of morality, radically different from the normally accepted one. On this point I beg to differ.

Nick Griffin's article, with its reportage of the attitudes of expatriates, contains some fascinating insights, but it begins on an ominous note: "All life is a struggle for survival"; and goes on, as if to reassure us, to show by historical examples how the British, if they set their minds to it, can be just as ruthless and vilely cruel to their foes as any savage. But Mr. Griffin does not manage to shake himself entirely free from the trammels of conventional morality:

"In the harsh world of the 21st century Age of Scarcity, the underdog will find a queue of stronger competitors waiting to kick him off his bone"

Where will these competitors have learned the good manners of forming an orderly queue? Certainly not in the "All life is a struggle for survival" school.

World overpopulation, as John Tyndall reminds us, is one of the pseudo-problems seized on by United Nations planners in order to expand their own influence and give them more excuses to interfere in the affairs of sovereign states. Also it conceals a real problem of static or declining populations in the most developed nations, caused by birth control. I agree that this trend must be reversed and that the white man must defend his territory against infiltration by other races. But Mr Tyndall goes too far in concluding that it is the "concept of an all-embracing human 'justice' which makes him incapable of effective self-defence either of his territory or his people."

There is nothing wrong in principle with the theory of justice and fair-dealing between nations as it has been worked out by jurists and theologians in the past: it is the "easy-going liberalism" of more recent times that has rendered the theory "woolly" and ineffective. Also the "them" or "us" dichotomy is an oversimplification. "They" (the non-whites) are not one entity but many; and they lack the capacity to unite in deliberate, long-term combinations against "us" (the whites). That there is a principle of evil abroad in the world orchestrating the ruin of our civilization cannot be in doubt; but all or almost all the ethnic groups, who may

appear from our standpoint to be playing a part in this, are tools of the conspiracy, not co-conspirators.

Ellen Strachan in her essay *Nature's Eternal Morality* seeks to derive a moral code from the instinct for self-preservation and the preservation of their kind which all living creatures share. "It is" she states "life's imperative; the reason for everything we do. Or so it should be." She continues:

"Yet instincts can be deadened or perverted, by domestication in the case of animals and by that form of domestication which we call 'civilisation' in the case of humans.

"Human beings, unlike other creatures, have the ability to rationalise their behaviour, and from this fact springs the ancient confusion over 'good' and 'evil'. It is a fundamental mistake to attempt to categorise things in this way...Nothing is intrinsically good or evil. One man's good thing is another man's bad thing."

It is the use of reason which distinguishes mankind from other animals and makes us a superior species; but, if neither reason nor instinct can altogether be trusted because the one may lead to "confusion" and the other may be perverted, why should the author favour instinct over reason? If reason is simply derived from instinct, as the evolutionists would have us believe, how can it judge which instincts are

"The welfare of the tribe can never be an absolute criterion of right and wrong"

sound and which faulty? And how, in a matter as important as the nature of good and evil, could reason possibly abdicate its powers of judgment in favour of instinct?

In any event I do not see the domestication of animals as a matter of the dulling of their instincts. A highly trained sheep dog or guide dog for the blind can perform at a far higher level than it would do if left in a state of nature, and is usually happy and fulfilled in its role. Similarly a saint who devotes his or her entire life to God performs at a far higher level than the rest of us and is a more complete human being.

"Survival is the only morality" declares Ellen Strachan. Does this mean that the whole concept of morality becomes redundant? After all, the passage of time will show who the survivors are: the fact that they have survived is sufficient indication, perhaps, that they were the ones who ought to have survived. The author approves of the moral quality of altruism, so long as it is an altruism directed exclusively towards the preservation of one's own race. But imagine the case of a talented executive of a great multinational company, a white Englishman with a wife and young family. Might he not direct all his efforts to the furtherance of his company's fortunes; his high salary and share options being

ample recompense for his own self sacrifice of leisure time and personal enjoyment? His wealth would create a secure future for his family. Maybe society all around is in decay, maybe the country of his birth is subsiding to the status of a banana republic, but he lives in a well-guarded estate with his loved ones. The general chaos and decline is not his concern. We may say that his basic instincts have atrophied or become perverted but who are we to judge? Maybe it is the life-force itself which has taken a sudden turn towards the direction in which he is travelling. If we wished to change such a person's sense of priorities we would have to appeal to his conscience.

Conscience is the deeply implanted sense of right and wrong in the human psyche. It gives us a scale by which we can measure the quality of our own and other people's actions. Conscience can be deadened or perverted, but it is a different kind of thing from the survival instinct. If the survival instinct were the motivating engine of our conscience, if in situations of conflict "one man's good thing is another man's bad thing," we would be morally justified in hating our opponents more for their virtues than for their vices: because their virtues make them stronger and their vices make them weaker. Is a soldier's conscience confused when he respects courage in an adversary?

In *The Trojan Women*, the play written by Euripides in the 5th century B.C., the situation is very stark. The Greeks have just achieved their final victory over Troy. They have slaughtered all the menfolk (apart from a handful who managed to escape) and have taken the women and children captive. Hecuba and Andromache – the widows of Trojan king Priam and his son Hector – mourn their dead husbands and bewail their own fate, which is to be slaves and concubines of the Greeks. Thus far the victors have done nothing wrong: they have broken no divine law. But now they overstep the bounds. Priam's daughter Cassandra, a virgin priestess of Apollo, is dragged from the altar and forced into concubinage with king Agamemnon. And Hector's son Astydamax, who is still a child, is hurled to his death from the highest tower of the battlements. There are sound reasons of state for this second crime: if left alive, Astydamax might well become the focal point for Trojan resistance when he grows to manhood. Nevertheless by these actions the Greeks incur the wrath of the gods and store up for themselves future disaster.

This drama shows a certain continuity of man's conscience over 25 centuries from pre-Christian times to the present and gives the lie to Spengler's dictum (*Spearhead*, July 1996, p.8):

"...the fact-world of history knows only the success which turns the law of the stronger into the law of all."

The welfare of the tribe can never be an absolute criterion of right and wrong.

Liberal censorship: the rewriting of history

In the first of a series of articles exposing the hypocrisy of liberalism, cultural historian ARTHUR RIX describes how leftist academics have sought to deny white people their ancient pre-historic roots

It is often said that "truth is the first casualty of war" — a maxim which could be expanded to include the broader sphere of political conflict.

Nationalists the world over are familiar with the half-truths, distortions and outright lies promulgated by historians within the leftist establishment. These officially-approved academics are at pains to minimise the importance of white civilisation whilst diligently magnifying the achievements of non-whites. The neurotic cult of 'political correctness' has led to increasingly bizarre claims, such as the recent assertions that leading historical figures such as Cleopatra, Hannibal and even Beethoven were black.

It is understandable (though not forgivable) that academics should toe the official line on recent historical events and non-events, particularly those of the Second World War. But the complicity of establishment historians goes even further in the unceasing onslaught against white people's culture and history. Nowadays even the most remote pre-history is subject to political censorship — and, to their eternal shame, the vast majority of academics are prepared to go along with it.

Everyday term

Until 1945 it was universally agreed that the most important civilisations were those created by the race which was referred to as 'Aryan'. It is important to note that this expression was not the creation of fanatical 'racists' in pre-war Germany, but rather the everyday term used by historians of all nations to describe the white race as a whole. For example, Arthur Mee, editor of the pre-war *British Children's Encyclopedia* wrote:-

"About 2,000 BC from out of Central Asia, across the tract that lies in between the Caspian Sea and the Ural mountains, there came a race of white-skinned, fair-haired people called Aryans, and they spread themselves over Europe. They came in a succession of waves, so to speak, and one of the waves, in the fullness of time, brought the Celts to our shores. The Aryans settled in this continent and founded a civilisation which reached its highest point in Greece."


In 1926 the great Australian archaeologist Gordon Childe published *The Aryans*, a book which described some of the earliest white civilisations. At the time this book was in no way regarded as 'controversial'. Neither were the writings of German historian Gustav Kossinna, who during the 1920s and '30s published several works on the subject of Aryan origins.

But in 1939 the question of Aryan civilisation moved out of the halls of academia and onto the battlefields of Europe. The military defeat suffered by white people in 1945 was mirrored in a similar reverse in the academic standing of the study of Aryan civilisation. The victory of multi-racialism was reflected in the 'new

archaeology' of post-war regimes, both communist and plutocratic.

The works of Gustav Kossinna were banned — or rather, in the best traditions of liberalism, it was no longer acceptable to refer to them other than in tones of outraged moral condemnation. Kossinna's 'crime' was two fold: first, he had publicly praised the pro-Aryan regime of pre-war Germany; secondly, he had advocated the theory that Nordic peoples were the primary creators of civilisation and that their ideas and discoveries had 'diffused' outwards to other races such as the Chinese and Egyptians.

In fact, the diffusionist theory worked both ways: it was entirely possible that early whites could have learned from, as well as taught, other races. But such was the level of hysteria in 1945 that another prominent German philosopher, Alfred Rosenberg, was hanged at Nuremberg for his writings espousing views similar to those of Kossinna. For the first time since the Spanish inquisition, Europeans were executed or imprisoned for 'heresy'. In this highly-charged atmosphere it was small wonder that Gordon Childe subsequently avoided all mention of his pre-war book *The Aryans* — indeed, the very term 'Aryan' was now regarded as so dangerous that the early politically correct euphemism 'Indo-European' was substituted.



Politically correct 'history' now claims that Cleopatra and the Ancient Egyptians were black, and that the Aryans contributed nothing to non-white civilisations such as those of China and South America. Such nonsense is based on a perverted ideological agenda, not on archaeological discoveries and the facts

In the 1960s and '70s a flood of academic works emerged 'disproving' the diffusionist theories of the pre-war archaeologists. It was announced that peoples such as the Chinese had developed completely independently of white influence, discovering concepts such as writing and the use of the wheel for themselves.

The common racial origins of the 'Indo-Europeans' were no longer discussed. Colin (now Lord) Renfrew, himself an open-minded and meticulous investigator into Aryan origins, summed up the post-war consensus in his 1987 book *Archaeology and Language*:

"Racial anthropology — *Rassenkunde* to use the German term — has been convincingly discredited."

The truth re-emerges

But truth is stronger than political correctness. Earlier this year archaeologists made an astonishing discovery in north-west China that overturned the anti-white dogmas of the post-war 'new archaeology'. According to an article buried on the inside pages of the *Times* (10th May, 1996):

"A number of blond-haired, straight-nosed 'mystery mummies' (were) found in the Tarim basin of western China. The bodies, well-preserved by the salty sands of what is now a desert, suggest that Westerners played a role in the development of China."

Establishment archaeologists admitted that the fine clothes and wheeled wagons found with some of the corpses suggested that they were wealthy overlords or merchants and that they might have introduced the wheel to the Chinese.

Remember: such conclusions, reached by a German philosopher over half a century ago, led directly to his judicial murder on the gallows at Nuremberg.

The *Times* article went on to report that:-


"Lord Renfrew, the Cambridge archaeologist, told the conference that the apparent evidence of cultural cross-fertilisation meant that diffusionism, hated by the progressives of the 1960s and 1970s, 'needs to be taken seriously again.'"

The point of this article is not to prove or disprove a particular view of the origins of the Aryan race and of civilisation; rather, it is to expose the way in which historical facts fundamental to the heritage, pride and very existence of white people, have been twisted or concealed to fit in with the perverted ideological agenda of the egalitarian academic establishment.

**Spearheads for sale: Nos. 38, 50, 83, 86, 89 @ 10 the lot or will separate.
Also required: Back issues Nos. 1-9, 11-15, 17, 18, 31 & 33. Reasonable prices paid. Telephone 0121 605 8745 or contact PO Box 771, Great Barr, Birmingham B44 9LZ.**

The Emperor's new clothes

The 'New World Order' is overrated and in trouble, argues NICK GRIFFIN



Susan McDougal, closely linked to President Clinton in the Whitewater venture, is taken to prison in Little Rock, Arkansas, after refusing to answer questions about his role in the corruption scandal. Part of a diabolical plot to make Al Gore President, or just another symptom of the corruption and weakness of a system in its death throes?

"SOME of the finest brains in the financial world now expect a crash on Wall Street which will reverberate around the other major stockmarkets of the world and particularly London. After a dizzying surge which has seen the Dow Jones Industrial Index soar by more than 50 per cent since the beginning of 1994 the question on a severe correction is no longer if, but when."

So wrote Patrick Weever in a major article in the Business section of the *Sunday Telegraph* on August 25th. Now, while there are always a few pessimists around warning investors that 'the End is Nigh', Weever's message is given weight not only by the fact that he is a senior financial journalist, but also by the very significant number of expert analysts around the world who have come to the same conclusion.

Barrons, a magazine greatly respected by corporate and individual investors alike, warned recently of a drastic reduction in the amount of money flowing into American mutual funds, which the baby boomer generation has used over the last couple of years to invest in stocks and shares for pension purposes. The magazine points out that the \$3.5bn put into mutual funds this July, although seemingly a huge amount, was in fact a drastic drop on the monthly average of \$18bn over the previous year. Furthermore, money ploughed into the mutual funds is having less and less impact on Wall Street; sums which a year or so ago would have triggered sharp rises in share prices now have virtually no effect. Concluded *Barrons*:

"We are convinced that this palpable weakening of the bull market's biggest source of strength is an extraordinarily significant change and not, in case you're wondering, for the better."

Andrew Smithers, who produces independent analysis for fund managers in London agrees:

"The stockmarket has only reached peaks comparable with today's levels on three previous occasions. Each peak was followed by a crash which, in turn, was followed by a severe recession. The depressions of the 1930s were particularly severe and at the time there was widespread acceptance of the view that central banks had a duty to avoid creating asset bubbles."

To his alarm, however:

"The US market is now more overvalued than at any time this century."

A large part of the reason for this, says the *Sunday Telegraph*, is the way in which the US authorities have turned "a blind eye to the asset bubble, turned on the printing presses and dropped interest rates to astonishing lows."

This is in spite of the fact that Alan Greenspan, the chairman of the US Federal Reserve, is supposed to be an arch anti-inflationist. According to Steven Solomon, writing in his book *The Confidence Game*:

"Even before taking office in August 1987, Greenspan fretted that the stratospheric rise in share prices constituted a speculative bubble divorced from any underlying realistic expectation of future corporate profits or other underlying economic fundamentals. Bursting bubbles historically often triggered financial crises and sometimes economic disasters."

Comments the *Telegraph*'s Patrick Weever:

"If he was worried then surely he must be catonic with worry now. Why then is he stoking the flames with easy money? Why is such a man letting the money supply rip?"

"Because he is afraid of something worse," says one money man, hinting darkly that the something might be world depression. After all, we have now had two gigantic rounds of banking failures in America and Japan and these banks have only been rescued by cutting interest rates so that the banks could borrow at rock bottom rates and rebuild their balance sheets by lending at a big profit further up the yield curve.

"Had there been headlines 'Taxpayers bail out banks' there would have been uproar. But this device surreptitiously achieved the same result."

"It is one thing bailing out the banks. It would be quite another bailing out the stock market. Yet some bears believe the Fed can delay the crash during election year but that ultimately this will only make it worse."

The big players in international investment are already battening down the hatches in preparation for the storm ahead. By early September the *Wall Street Journal Europe* reported that one of Switzerland's biggest fund managers, Pictet & Cie, has sold so many shares that it now holds 35 per cent of its total assets in hard cash. On September 15th the *Sunday Telegraph* reported that Tony Dye, head of the British fund management giant PDFM is so worried about the prospect of an equity market crash that he has boosted its cash holdings from the industry average of 6.4 per cent to an unprecedented 15 per cent.

Of course, Conspiracy theorists may see all this is a rather different light. Rather than Greenspan and Co. struggling to keep a rotten and rickety financial system crashing down, they may be deliberately engineering a massive collapse so as to complete the process of concentrating the wealth of the world in their hands by wiping out smaller investors, banks and national governments and providing an excuse for the introduction of a supposedly more stable global electronic cashless society as part of their New World Order. The cautious pension fund managers may be in on the act; the reports by well-informed journalists may be deliberately engineered to create panic, and so on.

Now this **might** be what is going on, and there are certainly powerful individuals, organisations and pressure groups which would strive to find ways to use a new world depression

to increase further their wealth and power, but however much they end up **benefiting** from the collapse, it does not necessarily mean that they **caused** it.

The same phenomenon would also be seen after, for example a catastrophic earthquake which flattened San Francisco and Los Angeles. The problems caused by hordes of looters and panic-stricken refugees might lead to new police powers and a more tightly-controlled welfare system which strengthened the Federal Government. As rebuilding got underway there is every likelihood that private property-owners whose insurance policies did not include earthquake damage would end up losing everything, and that many smaller insurance companies would be bankrupted and swallowed up by their larger rivals with the resources to stand such enormous short-term losses. Property developers and big construction companies would make additional fortunes, but small builders might be driven out of business because they lacked the buying-power to obtain building materials which would be cornered by the bigger concerns.

However undesirable all these things undoubtedly would be, and however many little 'conspiracies' had been hatched in the scramble to drag profits from the misery and the wreckage, most people would see that the earthquake itself was the product of natural forces beyond anybody's control. There probably would be a number of Conspiracy explanations — top secret government research programmes, geo-seismic disruption-waves generated from a secret Communist headquarters still operating underneath the Kremlin, and millennial religious fantasies about the End of the World — but it is unlikely that these would make much headway, even among the almost uniquely gullible American public.

Unfortunately, rather fewer nationalists are able to understand the way in which debt-finance capitalism, although a man-made system, has a life and momentum of its own.

Inherently unstable

The very nature of the Banksters' swindle is that it must periodically collapse under its own weight of unrepayable debt. The debt system is like a juggernaut picking up speed down a winding mountain road. The Greenspans, Rothschilds, Rockefellers and all the rest of them are able to use the steering wheel to keep it on the road for a while, but if they were to slam on the brakes to stop it the whole thing would skid and topple over the edge straight away. So they have to keep hurtling onwards, unable to do more than struggle to postpone the disaster until their New World Order police patrol is strong enough to keep control of the ragged band of looters (Hi mum, that's us!) waiting to fight them for the chance to pick up the pieces at the bottom of the precipice and to continue the journey along a different route.

Conspiracy researchers often do good work in exposing the key players in the New World Order drive, and in alerting us to some of their plans and intrigues. But they can also do us a

disservice by encouraging the erroneous belief that 'they' are so all-seeing and powerful that there is nothing we can do to stop them except pray for divine intervention. Talk to such people about the warnings of an impending financial crash and the possibility of a subsequent depression, for example, and the likely response is either that "They' won't let it happen" or "They' will create phoney radical movements as safety valves to dissipate popular anger and use the crash to tighten their grip even further."

When are we going to get this defeatist nonsense out of our heads? The truth is that, while 'they' might be able to postpone things a bit, 'they' can't stop periodic financial crashes any more than they can stop earthquakes; debt-overload and a cycle of boom-and-bust are built into the very nature of their system. And yes, of course the owners and beneficiaries of the present system will set up artificial safety valves to try to send the potential supporters of revolutionary change up blind alleys, just as their media prostitutes will lie about us and their parliamentary puppets will pass laws against us. Our job isn't to whine about these tricks being 'unfair', but to organise a decisive minority to overcome such obstacles and turn the temporary popular disillusionment produced

**"... the internationalists
are losing their grip. The
nationalism they thought
they had destroyed in the
bloodiest war in history
is emerging as the
dominant force all over
the world"**

by the coming 'bust' into an unstoppable force for a total change of system.

It has been done before, and it can be done again. The very first step is to understand the coming time of opportunity and to inculcate the right confidence in our potential. So stop dwelling on the enormous odds against us and take heart instead from the even bigger problems facing the would-be rulers of the New World Order.

We have already seen that the unprecedented post-war economic prosperity, which has enabled the liberal-internationalists to bribe their subjects into accepting their poisonous social engineering projects such as multi-racialism, is headed for a smash. It's not just a matter of even higher unemployment, falling wages and the dismantling of the welfare state, they are also going to have to explain to an ageing population that their pension funds have gone down the tubes as well.

Even more significant is the fact that, throughout the entire developed world, the overwhelming majority of people have already lost their faith in the institutions, shibboleths and personnel of the plutocracy — and this

before the proverbial has hit the fan. During the last great cyclical crisis of finance capitalism the people of Britain — the declining great power — still had a mystical faith in the royal family, in their own greatness in technical progress and in democracy. The inhabitants of the rising great power were similarly addicted to the myth of democracy and, after a brief flirtation with the likes of Huey Long and Father Coughlin, were easily convinced to stay on board the American Dream.

Unholy alliance

In their struggle to contain the European revolutions which threatened the rule of Gold, the predecessors of the New World Order conspirators were also able to count on the myriad of traitors, spies and army corps assembled in the service of Bolshevik imperialism. Even in conservative, deferential, Britain, the Communist Party had a sufficient mass following to be able to win two seats in Parliament in 1945. By 1975 the CP was reduced to a couple of council seats on the Isle of Dogs, and we all know who won a seat there fewer than twenty years after that!

The collapse on the streets and in the universities is no less dramatic. Apart from a few dozen misguided Red Irishmen, a few hundred leftist Asians and a couple of thousand sociology lecturers and bulldikes, organised Marxism has ceased to exist in Britain. The same trend may be seen all over Europe — capitalism's communist twin, the Banksters' great safety valve, has died and rotted away.

From now on, the New World Order addicts must provide the muscle to deal with their opponents and impose their will themselves. So the United Nations is to be the policeman of the world, but since that organisation is bankrupt, the honour goes to the United States, with a bit of help from Britain when our Government can find a couple of ageing jets which haven't been scrapped.

And how are the warriors of this all-powerful Conspiracy doing? Well, they beat down the forces of mighty Granada; they inflicted a decisive defeat on the horde of Voodoo priests defending Haiti down to the last white cockerel, and their high tech airpower frazzled columns of retreating Iraqi conscripts. But it was remarkable how quickly that slaughter was used as an excuse to avoid sending ground troops to slug it out with the Revolutionary Guards, with their five years' experience of WWI-style carnage against the equally fanatical Iranians. Then there was the hasty retreat of the Marines from the exploding trucks of the Lebanon, and the debacle of Somalia, where primitive tribesmen amused themselves shooting down million-dollar helicopters with \$25 dollar rifles and cutting up their crews with even cheaper knives. There was the hugely costly operation to bomb the mutually genocidal inhabitants of Tito's former empire into living in multi-cultural harmony. The final nail in the coffin of that particular New World Order fantasy has just been hammered in by the very Bosnian Muslims who were supposed to benefit

Cont. overleaf

Cont. from page 13

from the Dayton 'peace' accords, as they voted overwhelmingly for candidates committed to Muslim hegemony rather than power-sharing. In the same week, Saddam Hussein retook the whole of Northern Iraq and executed the CIA's entire network of agents in the country. And Washington's ailing puppet Yeltsin struggled to keep power out of the hands of the Caesarist maverick Lebed, a man who openly makes wisecracks about the sacred Chosen Ones. The massive CIA investment in the summer's Russian elections is already looking to have been money down the drain.

Losing their grip

In spite of more than fifty years of ceaseless propaganda from the most efficient brainwashing programme ever conceived, and in spite of their control of every institution in the developed world, the internationalists are losing their grip. The nationalism they tried to drown in blood and fire is emerging as the dominant force all over the world.

Meanwhile, a friend living in the south west of the USA mentioned on the 'phone the other evening that whenever he goes out to deliver CDs to record stores he has to wear a bulletproof vest and carry a heavy handgun. He described the crime and racial situation in America's main cities as one of "low intensity warfare." Conspiracy merchants attribute this to a diabolical plot to force the public to accept a police-state; the real reason is that the liberal order is disintegrating into the violence and anarchy which have been recognised as the inevitable end result of democracy since the time of Plato.

For all the paranoia about the powers of Mrs. and Mr. Clinton, the FBI, the Bilderbergers, Trilaterals, Stealth bombers and those black helicopters, the New World Order doesn't really control much more than the White House — and even that gets raked with machine gun fire every now and again.

Of course, these people are involved in a 'Conspiracy' — if that's the right word for such a blatant desire to own and dominate the entire world. Many aspects of the world's politics and economics are indeed influenced by little groups of powerful people, but an ability to influence does not require total control. Secretive bodies like the Bilderberg Group and the Trilateral Commission do exist. They do have most Western politicians in their pockets, and their Jewish co-schemers do have a stranglehold on the world's mass media and enormous influence on the political establishments of the West.

But in spite of all that the only real 'success' their agents have had in the last few years is the takeover of South Africa. Apart from that, they have lost control in their showpiece operations in Somalia and Bosnia; their hirelings are losing control in Saudi Arabia, Algeria, Austria, France, Belgium and East European countries such as Slovakia; they are struggling to control Russia, Italy, Canada, nuclear Ukraine, Egypt and the

Internet. Even in 'stable' states their detested puppet governments are losing control of their tax bases as information technology frees entrepreneurs to work from wherever suits them, and of their streets as the police lose the fight against crime. They can still count on their political police, but so could Erich Honecker, and precious little good it did him once the wheel of History started to turn once more.

So it is time to stop thinking of these people as evil geniuses with the means of total control over a population of mindless zombies. Such an attitude encourages a deadly mixture of defeatism and crankitude which guarantees nationalism's perpetual confinement to the political fringe. Our masters are — for all their pomp and power — ordinary, fallible mortals, who generally owe their exalted positions not to any great talent but to accidents of birth or fate.

Their system is kept in power through corruption, sloth and indifference rather than by

the wiles of police chiefs and propagandists or a willingness to crush demonstrators under tanks. A Rothschild heir can commit suicide as easily as a depressed bank clerk. Alan Greenspan may now be chairman of the Federal Reserve but not so many years ago he scraped a living as a jazz player.

There is nothing special about these people. They make mistakes. Under pressure they can panic and either overreact or lose the stomach to fight; It happened in France in 1789 and again in 1968, when de Gaulle packed his bags to flee the country. It happened in Russia in 1917. It happened all over Eastern Europe in 1989. It can happen with our masters too. **They and their flunkies may still have power, but as things fall apart that means primarily that they have something to lose. We, on the other hand, have nothing to lose and everything to gain — if we have the will and the wits.**

NEEDED: More letters to the local press

This small selection of letters printed recently in local newspapers shows that the kind of intelligent action by individual nationalists advocated on the facing page by Michael Walsh is well worthwhile. The vast majority of normal people already agree with our views, but they need to see others articulating them in public to get the courage to express them themselves. Where possible, letters should mention the BNP by name, but even if they don't, their appearance helps to create a climate of opinion favourable for the party's future growth. So start writing! Please send copies of published letters to Spearhead.

GERRY Birmingham, speaking on television on behalf of the Labour Party, says the will of the public should prevail on the banning of privately held firearms. How about the will of the public prevailing on immigration, multi-racialism and capital punishment?

SYLVIA NOBLE (Mrs)
Albert Road, Colne

Freedom of speech?

I READ with amusement the suggestion by Mr Ray Singh, commissioner for Racial Equality in Wales, that I "must be contained, educated and cured" (County Times 30/8/1996). I wonder what sort of container he has in mind?

I also had to smile at Mr Alex Carlile's rather fuzzy idea of freedom, whereby he recognises the right of a political opponent to his views "providing he keeps them to himself". How generous! But whatever happened to freedom of speech?

I have nothing against individual coloured people, but having studied History and Law at Cambridge University I do not need further education from Mr Singh to know that there has never in all recorded history been a peaceful multi-racial society, and that no 'laws' passed in Westminster can take away the natural right of a nation to maintain its sovereignty and its cultural and ethnic identity.

Yet if present trends continue the white nations of these islands will be reduced to a minority in their own land by the middle of the coming century and within a few generations will become extinct.

Unchecked immigration equals genocide. Welsh-speakers already know how one nation can supplant another until the only traces of the original people are the faded letters on old gravestones.

Those of us who risk imprisonment under the tyrannical Race Relations Act to speak out about this are not motivated by hatred of other races, but by love of our own. Our beliefs are encapsulated in 14 words: "We must secure the existence of our people and a future for white children."

Who are the real extremists, those who publish magazines to further this message or the three multiracialists who think I should be locked up for wanting my grandchildren to grow up in a country in which my grandparents would still feel at home.

NICK GRIFFIN
Y Gribyn
Llanerfyl
Y Traillwng

Open house for everyone

J OHN Major is allowing two million Hong Kong Chinese to come without visas to Britain, supposedly on visits. But how many of these visits will turn out to be permanent?

Why stop at the Chinese? Why doesn't he go the whole hog and grant visa free entry to the whole of the continent of Africa, South America and the Balkans?

Our hospitals and housing services will cope adequately. Each government we have had has betrayed the British people.

They have made us a multi-racial society and destroyed our heritage, conned us by taking us into the Common Market to be ruled by Brussels.

J F SMITH (Mr), Leeds Close, Blackburn.

How to win friends and influence people

MICHAEL WALSH calls for positive thinking

I must confess that whenever I take a quiet drink in a bar I keep my racial nationalist views to myself - not because I wish to steer clear of trouble, but to avoid being the focal point for enthusiastic agreement. After thirty years 'active service' I know what I'm talking about!

The first time it happened I was minding my own business and making small talk when the war was mentioned. Someone commented that "Hitler started it." My riposte that a German jackboot had not been placed outside German territory when the Reich was attacked brought a flurry of misinformed comment. Having done my homework I answered each point with surgical skill. I quickly gained a respectful audience — and arrived home late for dinner.

On another occasion — just a few weeks ago, so I should have known better — a comment was made by a casual acquaintance from which I gathered that he was less than happy about the consequences of coloured immigration. Wanting a quiet life I did no more than mildly agree, but sensing a sympathetic ear my new friend unburdened himself. Others joined in and yes, you guessed it, I once again became the subject of admiration from a group of strangers whose extremism would have made a stormtrooper blush.

I haven't got the time to relate all the similar incidents which have happened in between, but all this does raise a question. If those of who have 'come out of the closet' articulate the innermost feelings of so many of our more timid brethren, why aren't we running things around here?

Have you ever noticed that the people who *are* running things — liberal politicians; schoolteachers who sabotage our children's racial instincts; politically correct commissars, and journalists who knowingly smear, distort and embellish — would never dare express their views in a crowded bar? Not because, as in our case, it would bring them friends who would make them late for dinner, but because they would probably be run out of town. So however strong their position may look at present, the great gulf between their perverse dogmas and the true beliefs of the vast majority of the population means that their system could, like East European Communism, collapse virtually overnight.

Our dream — their nightmare

I have this vision of young-minded racial-nationalist revolutionaries, emerging purified from the ashes of liberalism, sweeping through civic buildings, places of education, newspaper offices and TV stations, dragging political villains, race traitors and diverse degenerates out to face summary trial. Don't cringe. Have you seen their alternative trials? The media and political Establishment's show trials against racial-nationalists, the trials of youngsters born to become a minority in their own land, and the trials and tribulations of their parents who have become serfs to the bankers? The creatures who have encouraged these things deserve all they get.

It may not happen quite like that, but to hasten the happy day we have work to do! To the peasant the pitchfork, to the writer the pen, and to the eloquent firebrand of the passionately spoken word. There is not one among you who does not

have a gift that may be put to good use.

First you must learn to speak not for yourself, not even for the organisation to which you subscribe, but to speak up for truth, for only the truth will break the chains of your people. Anybody who has spoken with sincerity and integrity for their own kind has always been admired, even loved, by their own folk. That too is better than the alternative — the ignominy of doing nothing to prevent the triumph of evil.

Secondly, you must learn your racio-political subject so that you can deliver with credibility. Gut instinct is all very well for winning applause, but intellect is needed to get votes. You must have the answers ready, for the cleverly spoken and written word does more than win new friends, it disarms the enemy.

Thirdly, you must choose your enemies on your terms, choose your own weapons and choose your own ground.

Because we are all different, each of you reading this will differ in approach, but provided



"Have you seen their alternative trials? The media and political Establishment's show trials against racial-nationalists?"
Left: Lord Woolf.
One of many non-British judges

whatever action you take strikes a blow for the white race and leaves the enemy seething, you are no longer a defeated soldier in a retreating army, but a victor in one advancing to glory.

The worst thing you can do is to allow fear of the enemy's seemingly impregnable position to stay your hand or tongue. The enemy may occupy the high ground but I can let you into a secret: his high ground is sand. And you know what happens to a sandy hillock when you dig away at its base? That's right — it all comes sliding down, bringing him with it.

And you know something else? He knows it too and he also knows there is nothing he can do about it. He can holler and scream, threaten and rant, but he does so only from fear — fear of the consequences of your digging. He may call us the 'lunatic fringe' but if we were you can bet your life he wouldn't even waste his time smearing us. "The ones they smear are the ones they fear."

I can let you into another secret too. The enemy is a coward and, no matter what position of influence he holds today, he can never forget that he lives with an uncertain future and is deeply vulnerable — ask yesterday's Stasi informer!

Learn to tell the difference between a potential friend and a possible enemy. An article — alleging racial equality for instance — may offend you but, however misguided, it may have been written with genuinely good intentions. Handled the right way, a gently but well researched refutation of his case will give the author pause

for thought, affect his morale and cause him to question his beliefs. You might find this the first step to winning an influential new friend. This approach won't cost you anything, so why needlessly turn a misguided dork into an enemy? The thrown spear turns potential friends or neutrals into enemies; the hand offered in friendship wins friends and often disarms the foe.

Of course, if he reacts badly or repeats his error you must count him as an enemy. Interestingly though, he is a scared enemy. He knows that you are in pursuit: he is the quarry, you are the hunter. That truly is the way such people see the situation, however powerful they may seem at present, we threaten their hopes of a cosy retirement.

The weapons of war

You don't need to read the Koran to know that the pen is mightier than the sword. Whoever said "with these 26 soldiers of lead I will conquer the world" was expressing the same truth. If you wish to form public opinion you must be more than a mere foot soldier in the war of words. Our race and nation may be big, our silent sympathisers may be legion, but our army is small, so every soldier needs to be a Field Marshal specialising in strategy.

Having first learned your chosen subjects — read, read and read, and seek out teachers of the truth — you must take issue with those who wage war on our racial interests. Whether they are motivated by malicious intent or ignorance, make a consistent policy of questioning their opinion. Do so publicly in whatever way suits you, sending letters to the local paper or distributing information leaflets are two very simple methods. Never miss an opportunity to hit back in as many ways as you can, relishing each shot in your propaganda war. Sell subscriptions, raise funds, arrange events, organise, speak out, donate, enlist support, raise more funds.

Above all, always talk and act with confidence. There is far too much of a 'doom and gloom' and 'the end is nigh' attitude to the selling of racial nationalism. Forget it! Nobody buys losers. They are despised by their own side as well as by the enemy. Always talk up. Always talk confidently, not of how our race will suffer if we don't win, but of how wonderful things will be when we grab back the reins of fate.

Equally important: you must talk of the inevitability of our victory. Nothing succeeds like success, so never dwell on failure; see success in everything that you do and gloat over it no matter how small it is. By doing so you will bring joy to our task, a sense of achievement and assured victory. And your bonus will be to claim the moral high ground which, unlike the enemy's, will not be built on sand.

MICHAEL WALSH is the author of the newly published *Witness to History*, a 64-page magazine format collection of quotations about the origins and conduct of WW2. Essential reading for would-be letter writers and arguers for the truth. "Learn your subject and have your answers ready" says Mr. Walsh. Start here!

Witness to History is available from the *Rune* for £5 incl p&p. Cheques/POs payable to the *Rune*, PO Box 301, Carshalton, Surrey, SM5 4QW.

VOLKSVERRAAD – BETRAYAL: Explosive revelations from South Africa

Reprinted with acknowledgements to *Impact*,
PO Box 28233, Sunnyside 0132, Republic of
South Africa. Send a donation for a sample
copy of this extremely informative magazine
which, in addition to penetrating analyses of
the situation in South Africa, covers events all
over the white world.

IT is a commentary on the 'freedom of the press' that a best-selling book, filled to the brim with significant and dramatic revelations, should systematically be ignored by the mainstream press, both English and Afrikaans. This press is said to be ever on the lookout for sensationalism. Well, here it is – and one and all have given it the silent treatment. Even Radio Pretoria – 'the voice of conservatism' – described it as 'too controversial' to review.

Indeed, so explosive is the material in the book that only those with strong nerves can read and review it. As far as is known, *Rapport* was the only mainstream publication to review it, as did *Die Afrikaner*, plus a few smaller publications. To add to the drama, the author and publishers are reported to have been charged with defamation, and an attempt was made to prevent the publication of a second edition, although in spite of this there are plans to publish English and German translations.

In the tradition of ex-Mossad agent Victor Ostrovsky (who wrote *The Other Side of Deception*, in which he exposed the Mossad's secret agenda) and ex-CIA agent Terry Reed (in his *Compromised*, in which he revealed Bill Clinton's and the CIA's involvement in the

movement of drugs into Arkansas while the former was governor of that state), Advocate Piet Pretorius, an ex-NIS (later BOSS) agent, has written a book entitled *Volksverraad – Betrayal*. It is now in its second edition, the first having sold out within one month. Both Ostrovsky and Reed live in hiding for fear of assassination, and it is not unlikely that Pretorius's life could also be in danger.

This is a deeply disturbing book, which is bound to shock some rightists and patriots. But many of its points have been a matter of public speculation for years; Pretorius simply confirms the details from an Insider's point of view. He has stated that he would welcome a court case against him.

Well documented

While the facts in the book are thoroughly documented, it is in the nature of this kind of publication that sources cannot always be named. Although not every word in the document can be vouched for, the main exposition is so clear, so many puzzles in South African politics are clarified – especially the role played by secret forces in our political life over the past four or five decades – that it would be difficult to fault. Since 1967, South African politics have been increasingly determined by forces with their own secret agendas. The book will help explain the apparent 'paralysis of will' during the abdication of white power.

One of the most shocking chapters deals with the murder of Dr. Smit and his wife in 1977. Robert Smit, who had previously been the South

African representative at the I.M.F., told then-Minister of finance, Owen Horwood, about an unexplained flow of money out of South Africa. Horwood instructed Smit to investigate secret currency movements to the Union Bank in Switzerland. It was established that then-State President Dr. Nic Diederichs had a secret account of R17 million. This information was given to Horwood and Prime Minister John Vorster.

Shortly before his death, Smit told a colleague that his information would shake the whole nation, and that higher-ups would also be implicated. The revelations clearly had to be stopped.

According to the author, the help of the CIA was requested. A man who had committed several assassinations for the CIA was assigned. He and two CIA agents and, incredibly, Nic Diederichs, went to the Smit house, where Smit and his wife were shot to death, and the incriminating papers removed from Smit's briefcase. Diederich's fingerprints were identified on the case. This was hushed up, as was the link between the CIA and the bullets used in the Smit murders. We are told that Diederichs made a confession to a nurse on his death-bed.

Assassinated

Advocate Pretorius goes into detail about the man who succeeded Dr. Verwoerd after he was assassinated. While many regarded John Vorster as a rightist who 'turned' once he became Prime Minister, it seems that long before that Vorster was busy forging secret connections: with the far-left SA Institute of Race Relations, with Julius First (father of Ruth First and father-in-law of Joe Slovo); with the Broederbond and Freemasonry; with the CIA; with the SA Institute of International Affairs and the CFR; the SA Foundation, and MI6. When Afrikaner finance went into partnership with Oppenheimer's General Mining, Vorster was present at the celebratory party.

The author throws light on the circumstances surrounding Dr. Verwoerd's murder, in which Vorster played a decisive role, and which can definitely be classified as a conspiracy. Demitrio Tsafendas arrived in England in 1959 and worked at Anton Rupert's British cigarette factory, Rothmans Int. In May 1960 he said that if he got the chance he would kill Dr. Verwoerd. In 1962 Tsafendas left Rothman's employ, but remained on the pay sheet. In March 1963 a meeting was held in Birmingham at which Rupert consulted with four members of the CFR, MI6 and CIA. It was decided that Dr. Verwoerd should be assassinated, and that Tsafendas should be approached for the assignment. MI6 would train and pay him. He would also receive hypnosis.

Tsafendas arrived in South Africa in 1963 under suspicious circumstances. On 27th July 1964, John Vorster, who was still Minister for Justice, was present at a meeting with Rupert,




Rhodesian soldier prepares for another anti-terrorist patrol. Over the last 30 years the whites of Southern Africa have not been defeated, but they have been betrayed

Harry Oppenheimer, Quinton White and an MI6 agent, held in Oppenheimer's Parktown house, when the murder of Verwoerd was planned, reports Pretorius. Vorster was apparently blackmailed to become involved. As a reward he would become Prime Minister. A blueprint was handed to him instructing him how to rule once he achieved the position. At 9 p.m. the same evening, Vorster contacted General van den Bergh about the matter. Tsafendas was paid R5000 by an MI6 agent.

Pretorius gives details of the roles played by Van den Bergh, Anton Rupert and Henry Kissinger. On 1st August, Tsafendas got work as a messenger in Parliament. Although a writ of expulsion for his deportation was signed, it was never sent to the police.

On 6th September, Tsafendas assassinated Dr. Verwoerd. As Minister of Justice, Vorster was responsible for the lax security in the House. Van den Bergh was given the task of investigating the murder. Tsafenda was interrogated for 48 hours, after which it was announced that no conspiracy was involved and that he had acted alone. Vorster denied that the police had a file on the murderer.

The founding of certain right-wing movements by BOSS (later NI) and Military Intelligence is dealt with in detail. The purpose was to protect the National Party and to checkmate genuine resistance to the plan to destroy white rule. From the beginning the main intriguer was General Tienie Groenewald and his Kom Ops.



Another South African black dies in agony after being 'necklaced' with a burning tyre by ANC supporters. The whites who did not want to see the country they had built handed over to such savages were neutralised by a clever programme of subversion and dirty tricks organised by liberal traitors in their own government. Alien financial influences have long sought to do away with the white rule which interfered with their exploitation of the mineral wealth of the continent. Now they have had their way, and South Africa is rapidly following Rhodesia into bankruptcy and tribal chaos. The story of how it happened provides many warnings to nationalists in other parts of the world as well.

Volksverraad is available from Beyers van Niekerk, Box 15024, Sinoville 0129, RSA. Tel: (012)57-6633. Price, including registered post, R73,50 or £ 22 surface mail.

Names, places and dates are given in detail in the book.

Pretorius states that the planting of the Generals into the rightwing movement in the early 1990s had two purposes: First, the right-wing had to be confused by divisive factors such as the 'volkstaat' concept; and secondly, the threat of armed resistance to the ANC/SACP had to be defused.

Because of his financial difficulties, Constand Viljoen was the ideal candidate for the task. The Broederbond played a key role, and Groenewald was throughout the chief architect. He was the author not only of F.W. de Klerk's 'Red Friday' speech, but also of several other pivotal speeches by John Vorster, Willie Jonker and others. Pretorius states that Groenewald was not only a member of Military Intelligence (MI), but also of NI and of the American CIA.

Control and neutralise

His *modus operandi* was to collect various rightist and conservative organisations under one umbrella and under one neutralising control. Pretorius follows the trail relentlessly. The involvement of the CIA at this stage was critical and the author names various other prominent Afrikaners who were involved with CIA espionage.

Throughout the period of 'handover', Viljoen and Groenewald were continually seen by NI in the company of F.W. de Klerk, Neil Barnard, Roelf Meyer and members of the ANC; as well as leftist cleric Johan Heyns.

Virtually every right-wing party and militant movement was infiltrated by MI and NI and their agents. Another plus for them was getting the lists of right-wingers throughout the world prepared to resort to armed struggle. So effective were the Generals and their support team that the Right posed little serious threat to the 'handover'.

Further evidence of Groenewald's involvement in this treason came in the Magnus Malan trial, when Groenewald was the very first person to be acquitted. Judge Jan Hugo gave no reason for his acquittal, which was particularly surprising in view of the facts that the impression had been given that he was the architect of the whole operation for which he, Malan and others were being tried.

Volksverraad is not just a real-life cloak-and-dagger thriller, but contains a serious analysis of the background – historical and political – of the events described. If only half of it is true it would still be worth reading, but so thorough has been the investigation that it is difficult to believe that there can be any serious errors of fact.

It is also a pivotal document in opening up the can of worms that characterised the infiltration, marginalisation, denigration and neutralisation of the genuine, nationalist spirit of the white man and the Afrikaner during the nefarious campaign to dispossess him of his power and his country. When the English and German translations are published they should shed a lot of light on similar *modus operandi* employed in other lands.



30 PAGE COLOUR CATALOGUE

U.F.C. have now released their latest 30 page colour catalogue. This catalogue is packed with books, posters, postcards, audio and video tapes of special interest to Nationalists. Topics covered include Current Affairs, Politics, International Relations and History.

For your copy please send 4 first class stamps to:

U.F.C.
Dept SH,
BCM 4161,
LONDON,
ENGLAND,
WC1N 3XX

Visit our Web site:

<http://www.zipmail.co.uk/leader/page1.htm>



The Editor
'Spearhead'
 c/o PO Box 117,
 Welling,
 Kent DA16 3DW

SIR: Congratulations to Nick Griffin on quite the best article ('Will no-one rid us of these turbulent Reds' – August *Spearhead*) he has written so far. The tactic of intelligently confronting and browbeating our normally cocky, self-assured opponents is a good one and something that everyone can agree on. One small point, however. This is not what most people perceive as the 'twin-track strategy'. That is something quite different and involves the kind of swaggering machismo and associated violence which most British people find alien and abhorrent. It is not politics, it is a dead end suitable only for the creation of a street gang.

TONY LECOMBER

Iford, Essex

SIR: Reading through the life of that great British patriot Augustus Toplady, I came across this interesting comment on the National Debt, written in 1775:-

"Supposing this debt to be only 130 millions of pounds sterling at present (although it is much more).... Supposing the interest of this debt to be only three-and-a-half percent per annum, what does the whole interest amount to? Answer: Four million 550 thousand pounds sterling per year. How doth the government raise this interest yearly? Answer: By taxing those who lent the principal and others. When will the government be able to pay the principal? Answer: When there is more money in Britain's treasury than there is at present in all Europe. And when will that be? Answer: Never."

Since those days, of course, the National Debt has increased astronomically. As John Tyndall showed us in the January issue of *Spearhead*, it now stands at more than 345 Billion pounds sterling. What chance is there of us ever paying it off? As Toplady said, never!

This is the legacy of the internationalist policies of the Lib-Lab-Con parties. If the British people don't awake from their slumber and put the BNP into power to implement nationalist policies, our nation will become bankrupt, with millions thrown out of work into dire poverty. Those who jeer at such statements as 'alarmist' should reflect on this: Already the wimps of Westminster are looking for ways to cut down social security payments and the health service in a vain effort to find money to pay some of the massive interest bill owed to the big bankers.

HARRY MULLIN
 Glasgow

SIR: Some years ago an investigative journalist named James Mossman played a leading role in researching a BBC Panorama documentary on Sir Oswald Mosley. His long discussions with Mosley in the course of his research stimulated Mossman's inquisitive mind and prompted him to decide to begin research into the activities of some of Mosley's political and racial enemies.

Not so long after this, Mossman was found dead at his West Country retreat. The inquest verdict was suicide, yet Mossman was a youngish man approaching the height of his career; he appeared to have everything to live for. Those of us who lived in Dorset at the time of his death began to hear whispers that he had been murdered. One rumour had it that a retired G.P. with connections with the 62 Group of Zionist thugs had taken a hand in removing a man who was regarded as being a bit too independently minded. A former Labour MP called, I believe, Muriel MacLaren, told a Middle Eastern colleague that she knew Mossman had been murdered.

Long years have passed and no doubt all but a few of us have forgotten the tragedy. However, it has recently been brought to my attention that a young freelance Israeli researcher has claimed in a newsletter to have unearthed evidence that Israeli intelligence instigated Mossman's death.

D. M. WEYLAND
 Newton Abbot, Devon

SIR: I was disappointed to read the reference in August's *Spearhead* to Coventry Cathedral being a "monstrosity." Whilst it is not up to the standard of older examples like Wells and Canterbury, it does have a clean, simple beauty of its own and is one of the few modern buildings worthy of preservation.

Not all that is modern is bad, any more than all that is old is automatically good. That having been said, one need look no further than the rest of Coventry city centre surrounding the cathedral to see true monstrosities, decadence and ugliness in the form of miles of concrete blocks that are an offence to the eye and soul.

I don't think anybody would dispute that these need to be replaced with traditionally designed buildings, of which the existing cathedral would form an interesting and harmonious focal point.

A. N. FLICK
 Hayes, Middx.

SIR: Although you rightly regard the new Coventry Cathedral as a "monstrosity" the picture of your magnificent demonstrators could not have been taken in a more appropriate setting than in front of the statue of St. Michael the Archangel of Light crushing Lucifer the fallen archangel of darkness underfoot.

I believe God created order out of chaos and despite Lucifer's many legions actively at work against God's Will, our demonstrators and others like them are proof that right will triumph over wrong in the end.

Congratulations on the brilliant idea of including an excellent leaflet on the back page, which I hope *Spearhead* readers will copy and distribute.

MRS. SYLVIA NOBLE,
 Colne, Lancs.

SIR: On a recent visit to the Flemish town of Diksmuide, where I had hoped to meet with nationalists from the European continent, I was arrested by police simply because I had in my possession a quantity of both *Spearhead* and *British Nationalist*.

I was caged along with other nationalists from the United Kingdom, Germany, Netherlands, France, Italy, Spain and Belgium, who were also victims of a government-inspired clampdown on nationalism. This is a measure of their great fear of nationalist links and co-operation.

On the Sunday morning many were deported back to their own countries on the pretext that

the publications in their possession were "subversive propaganda material." This is their idea of freedom and democracy in Europe. The fight goes on!

JOHN PEACOCK
 Leicester

SIR: Over the last few months my colleagues at the *Rune* and I have discovered a number of instances when post to our P.O. Box and home addresses, and to other nationalist activists, has failed to reach its destination. Subscriptions and enquiries have been affected, but items intended to encourage co-operation between different individuals and groups seem to have been particularly hard hit. While it is easy to become paranoid, it is very possible that this is deliberate interference, rather than GPO inefficiency.

Having heard that some BNP administrative post has also gone astray, I urge any reader who has not received an expected reply to such a letter to write again to check that it was in fact received. Such enquiries should be sent via Recorded Delivery, as experience has shown that Special Branch, left-wing opponents and common thieves in the Post Office are all reluctant to expose their disruptive activities by stealing mail sent in this way.

NICK GRIFFIN
 Editor, *The Rune*

SIR: I cannot agree with K. Richard, who in the August issue dismissed Nigel Jackson's view on sexual relationships as 'decadent'. Mr. Richard's calls for "the white race as a whole" to adopt the "puritan ethos" of the Amish and Mennonite cults. No race "as a whole" has ever adopted such narrow-minded puritanism. Preaching it now as an answer to our present problems may have a certain whimsical charm, but very few people are going to listen.

Mr. Richard believes that white families must be regarded as 'sacred'. Why? Because, we are told, children from broken families are "all screwed up." All of them? Every last one? Any more so than other white kids? Not in my neighbourhood!

It seems to me that the white race itself is "all screwed up." Obviously many children are adversely affected by parental separation. Others – and I could name many – benefit from being liberated from the additional stresses imposed on them by a dysfunctional marriage within a dysfunctional society.

If anything is to be 'sacred' in the interests of racial survival, why choose the institution of marriage? Why not choose the well-being of white children? Or perhaps our race itself? Or even what it has the potential to become?

I suggest that we need to re-think our values, every last one, with a clear mind. Taboos, ideals, virtues and even vices that may have served us well in the past are not necessarily helpful today. It is even possible that they are to some extent implicated in the spiritual and hence demographic collapse of our people. The old answers failed us (or we failed them, if you prefer – it's too late in the day to argue the point). The reality is that if we are to avoid extinction we need a whole new set of rules.

Nigel Jackson was simply asking us to consider some alternatives in one area of our lives. Mr. Richard's dismissal of his argument as 'decadent' merely provides an example of how out of touch some people with pro-white views really are.

ALAN JAMES
 Victoria, Australia

BOOKS

BNP BOOK SERVICE (PHONE 0374 454893)
PO Box 117, WELLING, KENT DA16 3DW

AGRICOLA and GERMANIA (*Tacitus*) £6.00. The great Roman historian describes our Celtic and German ancestors in about 100 AD. Two books in one volume. This ed. 1970, 175pp.

AMERICA'S DECLINE: THE EDUCATION OF A CONSERVATIVE (*Prof. Revilo P. Oliver*) £4.50. A collection of articles and reviews on various aspects of the collapse of modern civilisation. 1981, 375pp.

ANGLO-SAXONS, THE (*ed Campbell*) £15.00. A handsome, well-illustrated book covering the history of England and the culture of its people from the 4th to 11th century. 1982 rep. 1991, 272pp

APOCALYPSE 1945: THE DESTRUCTION OF DRESDEN (*David Irving*) £15.00. An updated version of the 1963 account of this real "war crime." 1995, xiv, 315pp.

BABYLONIAN WOE, THE (*David Astle*) £17.00. A study of the origin of certain financial practices and their effects on the events of ancient history, written in the light of the present day. 1975, 250pp.

BEGINNINGS OF ENGLISH HISTORY, THE (*Dorothy Whitelock*) £6.99. Describes Anglo-Saxon culture and organisation and relations with the Celts between the leaving of the Romans and the coming of the Normans, 1952, this ed. 1991, 256pp.

BELL CURVE (*Richard J. Herrnstein & Charles Murray*) £25.00. This book, which has aroused enormous controversy, deals with differences in intellectual capacity among people and groups. Although aimed primarily at an American audience it has world wide significance. 1994, xxvi, 845pp.

BEST OF ATTACK AND NATIONAL VANGUARD, THE £12.00. A selection of hard-hitting nationalist articles published between 1970 and 1982 by the National Alliance. Essential reading. 217 large pages.

BIOLOGY OF THE RACE PROBLEM, THE (*Prof. W.C. George*) £1.00. The race equality hoax destroyed by an academic expert. 70pp.

BOUND TO FAIL (*Sundry authors*) £2.00. A series of articles by various experts covering every aspect of Britain's disastrous membership of the EEC. 1987, 62pp.

BRITAIN'S FUTURE: THE MEANING OF THE MAASTRICHT TREATY (*Prof. Stephen Bush*) £1.25. A strong plea for British independence from EC bureaucracy. 1990 (rep. 1993), 24pp.

BRITISH ISLES, THE (*Hugh Kearney*) £7.95. Subtitled 'A history of four nations' this is an outline of British history by an academic historian stressing the role of the non-English parts of the British Isles. 1989, 324pp.

CAMP OF THE SAINTS, THE (*Jean Raspail*) £5.00. A welcome reissue of this sensational novel about the destruction of the white race by Asian immigrant invasion. 1973, 311pp.

CASE FOR DAVID IRVING, THE (*Nigel Jackson*) £12.50. A study of the organisations and individuals seeking to suppress the historian David Irving's freedom to travel and communicate and of the smear campaign against him. The book relates largely to Mr. Irving's efforts to get into Australia. 1994, xi, 208pp.

CELTIC -- FIRST MASTERS OF EUROPE, THE (*Christine Eluere*) £6.95. A richly illustrated account of the arts and military achievements of this remarkable people in its pre-Roman and pre-Germanic heyday. 1992, 175pp.

CENSORED HISTORY (*Eric Butler*) £4.00. An examination of some of the facts of recent history that have not found their way into textbooks or newspapers. A welcome return to print. 1974, 48pp.

CHURCHILL'S GRAND ALLIANCE (*John Charmley*) £20.00. A study of the Anglo-American relationship 1940-57 showing the disastrous consequences of Churchill's faith in US friendship. 1995, xv, 427pp.

CHURCHILL'S WAR (*David Irving*) £16.95. The highly controversial story of Britain's World War II leader during the late pre-war and wartime years. This book was shunned by all the establishment publishers on account of its startling disclosures. Churchill-worshippers and believers in the 'orthodox' version of modern history will not like this book, as it describes its

Here is the latest list of our books. Prices given in each case do not include postal charges, which should be estimated by calculating one eighth the total value of the order, except in the case of overseas orders, where those about to order should first enquire. Payment, in sterling only, should be enclosed with all orders. All cheques or postal orders should be made out to the "BNP Book Service" only, and should not be included in remittances sent to associated bodies for other items. Orders are likely to be dealt with more quickly if 'BNP Book Service' is marked on the envelope. Please send all orders to our mail order address: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

New titles are marked with stars *

Please note this fact, which is of great importance: the books sold through the BNP Book Service are written by many different kinds of authors holding a number of different political viewpoints, very few of them being in any way connected with the British National Party. The party's Book Service distributes these books because it is felt that they fill important gaps in public information and understanding of vital national and international issues. This does not mean that all of what is printed in these books should necessarily be taken as representative of the policies of the British National Party. We reserve the right to differ with some of the authors on some parts of their writings.

central character as the man who ruined Britain and the British Empire in his insane vendetta against Hitler. 1987, 591pp.

CHURCHILL: THE END OF GLORY (*John Charmley*) £30.00. This massive work follows in the footsteps of David Irving in his *Churchill's War* in its demolition of the myth of Churchill as a great national leader. The author is in fact less personally scathing in his treatment of his subject than is Irving, even stating that Churchill was a 'great man'. Nevertheless, the book highlights our war Premier's overweening personal ambition and is particularly critical of his failure to come to an agreement with Hitler after British forces had been defeated in Europe in 1940. A valuable new contribution to revisionist history. 1993, 742pp.

COLLAPSE OF BRITISH POWER, THE (*Correlli Barnett*) £10.99. A welcome reissue of a vitally important book first published in 1972. Shows how liberalism and lack of political realism brought about Britain's 20th century decline in the political, industrial and military fields. A classic work by a mainstream historian 643pp.

CONSPIRACY OR DEGENERACY? (*Prof. Revilo P. Oliver*) £4.00. Text of a lecture by the author, a brilliant classical scholar, to New England rally for God, Family and Country 1966. 76pp.

HOLOCAUST DENIAL:

NEW NAZI LIE OR NEW INQUISITION?
A Defence Of Free Inquiry And The Necessity Of
Rewriting History



A spirited defence of free speech coupled with a well-researched refutation of the lies of 'anti-fascist' Exterminatonists

CONTROL OF THE COMMUNICATIONS MEDIA AND CONDITIONING OF THE PUBLIC MIND (*Col. B.S. Turner*) £9.75. An updated version of articles appearing in *On Target* newsletter. Packed with facts about the ownership of the media and their political bias. 1992, 34pp (A4).

CONTROVERSY OF ZION, THE (*Douglas Reed*) £14.50. A best seller in nationalist circles ever since its publication. A study of Jewish-Gentile relations since biblical times, packed with little known and long suppressed facts. 1979, 580pp.

CRYING WOLF: HATE CRIME HOAXES IN AMERICA (*Laird Wilcox*), £13.00. A survey of over 120 cases where "race attacks" have been fabricated for political or financial advantage. 1994, 128, (20)pp.

DEADLIER THAN THE H-BOMB (*Leonard Young*) £2.00. A reprint of an important work on the money power and its threat to the freedom of mankind. The author was a distinguished RAF officer and active nationalist. 1956, rep. 1992, 72pp.

DID SIX MILLION REALLY DIE? (*Richard Harwood*) £1.00. The fact-filled pamphlet which strongly refutes the official 'Holocaust' figures. An all-time Revisionist classic. 28pp.

DILEMMA OF INTER-RACIAL RELATIONS (*Prof. R. Gayre of Gayre*) 60p. Concise work which clearly demonstrates the folly of the racial integration being forced on every once white nation in the world. 1966, 21pp.

DISPOSSESSED MAJORITY, THE (*Wilmet Robertson*) £15.50. This book has attracted worldwide attention, dealing as it does with racial problems in America and not just simply the black-white situation. 2nd edition 1981, 613pp.

ÉLITE, THE (*Barbara Cole*) £11.75. The exciting story of the Rhodesian Special Air Service and its campaign against terrorism. 1984, 449pp.

FORCED WAR, THE (*David L. Hoggen*) £21.00. Described by Harry Elmer Barnes as "...the first thorough study of responsibility for the causes of the Second World War...the definitive revisionist work on the subject." 1989, 732pp.

FRENCH REVOLUTION, THE (*Nesta Webster*) £6.00. A much needed reprint of the counter-revolutionary classic that exposed the plotting behind the first great revolutionary bloodbath of modern history. 1919 (rep. 1988), xiv, 519pp.

FROM ROME TO MAASTRICHT (*Brian Burkitt, Mark Baimbridge and Stephen Reed*) £1.50. A reappraisal of Britain's membership of the European Community -- from the Campaign for an Independent Britain. 1992, 69pp.

GLOBAL TYRANNY... STEP BY STEP (*William F. Jasper*) £12.50. How the UN is being developed as an omnipotent global government, suppressing national identities and human rights. The author is senior editor of *The New American* and a John Birch Society officer. 1992 xvii, 350pp.

GREAT HOLOCAUST TRIAL, THE (*Michael A. Hoffmann II*) £4.00. Very comprehensive and well-written report on the infamous trial of Ernst Zundel and freedom of speech and inquiry in Canada. 1985, 95pp.

HIDDEN MENACE TO WORLD PEACE (*James Gibb Stuart*) £10.00. This latest work by a well-known advocate of monetary reform deals also with secret politics, media censorship and the movement towards a 'new world order'. 1993, 233pp.

HITLER'S WAR (*David Irving*) £25.00. Revised and abridged version of *The War Path: Hitler's Germany 1933-39* and *Hitler's War* (1977 ed.). 1991, VI, 857pp.

HOAX OF THE TWENTIETH CENTURY, THE (*Prof. A.R. Butz*) £5.95. A scientist applies clinical methods to an investigation of the 'Holocaust' and dissects a number of popular myths. 2nd ed. 1977, 315pp.

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE OR NEW INQUISITION? (*Alexander Baron*) £8.50. An independent libertarian researcher defends the rights of holocaust revisionists and exposes the lies of the individuals and organisations seeking to suppress free enquiry on the subject. Packed with facts and quotations. 1994, 179pp.

(Contd. overleaf)

IMPERIUM (Ulrich Varange) £15.00. One of the most important nationalist philosophical books of the post-war period. The author, whose real name was Francis Parker Yockey, rejects Marx, Freud and other 'culture distorters' and demolishes the whole ideology of 'liberalism.' Originally published 1948, 625pp.

I.Q. AND RACIAL DIFFERENCES (Prof. Henry Garrett) £1.20. Clear and concise summary of the evidence of racial differences in intelligence and their significance in education. 1980, 57pp.

IRON CAGE, THE (Nigel Hawthorne) £5.99. An examination of the very real possibility that British P.O.W.'s from WW2 and Korea are still alive in Russia. The author has testified before a US Senate sub-committee on US MIA's (Missing in Action) in Vietnam. 1993, ix, 310pp

IS THERE INTELLIGENT LIFE ON EARTH? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. An entertaining survey of modern fallacies and their charlatan promoters. 1983, 94pp.

LAST SECRET, THE (Nicholas Bethell) £7.99. The disgraceful story of how the anti-Communist Cossacks were forcibly handed over to for mass slaughter by the Soviets between 1944 and 1947.

LEMMING FOLK, THE (James Gibb Stuart) £7.95. A witty and factual examination of current attitudes and intellectual fashions that are threatening our future. 1980, 246pp.

LEUCHTER REPORT, THE (Fred A. Leuchter) £6.00. The evidence on 'gas chambers' by an American engineer that rocked the Zundel trial. Leuchter effectively testified that such installations could not have existed in German occupied Europe before or during 1939-45. 1988, 66pp.

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES (Alexander Baron) £4.99. An exposure of the lies and crimes of the 'Searchlight' mole' Ray Hill and a demolition of his ridiculous book *The Other Face of Terror*. 1994, 128pp.

MATTER OF LIFE OR DEBT, A (Eric de Mare) £4.75. A distinguished architectural historian examines the flaws in our financial and banking system and offers a remedy based on the ideas of C.H. Douglas. 1983, 140pp.

MERRIE ENGLAND, 2000 (Colin Jordan) £1.00. A fictional portrayal of life at the start of the coming century, with white people under the lash of the racial 'harmonisers'. 1993, 39pp.

MONEY BOMB, THE (James Gibb Stuart) £4.25. Discusses the failure of our debt-based financial system and describes its role in creating inflation. 1983, 158pp.

NAKED CAPITALIST, THE (W. Cleon Skousen) £4.80. A study of the political power and ambitions of America's and the world's financial capitalists and of their link-up with communism. 1970, 144pp.

NAMELESS WAR, THE (Capt. A.H.M. Ramsay) £6.50. An outline of the secret history of the events leading up to the Second World War, including information on previous upheavals. The author had the honour of being imprisoned without trial for four years during World War II, despite his status as a member of parliament. Originally published 1952, 128pp.

NATURAL LAW OF RACE RELATIONS (Laszlo F. Thomay) £7.50. An examination of racial tensions in a number of areas, showing that they are based not on hate or bigotry but on natural, universal tendencies. 1993, 145pp.


NEW UNHAPPY LORDS, THE (A.K. Chesterton) £6.00. An exposure of the financial power that seeks to dragoon mankind into a global police state. 1972, 288pp.

ORIGINS OF THE SECOND WORLD WAR (A.J.P. Taylor) £8.99. One of the most controversial works on the subject ever since its first publication. 1961, this ed 1964, 296pp

POPULISM AND ELITISM (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. A study of the purpose and function of political power and the elements which wield it in the present century. 1982, 101pp.

RACE (J.R. Baker) £25.00 hardback. The author, a professional zoologist for 50 years, provides an objective and scholarly account of what race means and how the concept has affected human thinking. A specialist book, but one of great importance. 1974, 625pp.

RACE, INTELLIGENCE AND EDUCATION. 50p. Produced by a group of nationalist teachers in reply to an NUT race-mixing booklet. Packed with facts and cogent argument. 1979, 20 A4 pages.



Valuable reprint of a classic work on what is wrong with the banks' debt swindle and how to put things right

See this page

RACE AND POLITICS (H.B. Isherwood) 50p. Shows that a sense of racial identity is an essential element of nationhood. 1974, 36pp.

RACE AND REALITY (Carlton Putnam) £4.00. A companion volume and supplement to *Race and Reason*, showing how the truth about the race issue has been suppressed by interested parties. 1967 (rep. 1977), 192pp.

RACE AND REASON (Carlton Putnam) £4.00. A plain, unemotional account of the race issue that has been an invaluable contribution to the debate ever since it was first published. 1961 (rep. 1977), 125pp.

RECONSTRUCTION TRILOGY, THE (Thomas Dixon) £12.00. A reprint of *The Leopard's Spots*, *The Klansman* and *The Traitor*, three great novels dealing with the 'Reconstruction' of the American South after the Civil War as seen from the Southern Whites' point of view. Dixon's novels inspired the epic D.W. Griffith film *Birth of a Nation*, the race mixers' least favourite picture. 1902-1907, rep. 1994, xx, 550pp.

RESPONSE, THE (James Goldsmith) £5.99. The author answers objections from various sources to earlier book *The Trap*. 1995, 203pp.

ROTTEN HEART OF EUROPE, THE (Bernard Connolly) £17.50. A former official of the European Commission exposes the lies and deceit involved in the establishment of the ERM and the dangers involved in European monetary union and European federalism. The book created a sensation on its release last Autumn. 1995, xviii, 427pp.

SEARCHLIGHT ON A SEARCHLIAR (Alexander Baron) £2.99. A detailed, factual and annotated destruction of the pretensions of Gerry Gable, self-styled 'anti-fascist' researcher and editor of *Searchlight* magazine. Shortly after this exposure of Gable's lies, the author was assaulted at his home by a group of thugs. His libel action against *Searchlight* and its distributors has now stopped a number of bookshops stocking Gable's hate-sheet. 1993, 36pp.

SECRET SOCIETIES AND SUBVERSIVE MOVEMENTS (Nesta Webster) £14.00. A standard authority on the most important secret societies undermining civilisation and Christianity in the 200 years up to the 1920s. 1924, rep. 1994, 419pp.

SELF-DETERMINATION? THE QUESTION ULSTER MUST ANSWER (Richard Cameron) £5.99. The author's solution of self-determination for Ulster under the Crown may be contentious, but the book makes plain the neglect and mismanagement to which the province has been subjected by direct rule. 1992, 192pp.

SEXUAL DEAD-END, THE (Stephen Green) £9.95. A factual and scientific description of the threat to personal and social health posed by homosexuality, with an account of the rise of the 'Gay Liberation' movements and 'Gay' activism. This book is not sensationalist but nor is it for the squeamish or easily shocked. 1992, 482pp.

SIX MILLION RECONSIDERED, THE (W. Grimstad) £3.00. Examines not only the 'holocaust' but other topics involved in the Jewish question, including the exploitation of the 'anti-semitic' smear.

SOME TO MECCA TURN TO PRAY (Mervyn Hiskett) £12.95. An examination of Islamic values and tradition with important sections on the relations between Moslems and host societies and Islam and Zionism. 1991, 357pp.

SPEARHEAD BINDER £7.60. Made to hold 12 copies of *Spearhead* magazine. Keep your valuable collection of back-numbers in perfect condition and ready for handy reference.

STALIN'S WAR (Ernst Topich) £13.00. A revisionist view of the origins of World War II by an Austrian professor of philosophy. 1987, 152pp.

STRUGGLE FOR WORLD POWER, THE (George Knupffer) £6.20. The fourth edition of a work by a distinguished Russian author dealing with the communist and financial conspiracies and showing the link between the two. 1986, 240pp.

SURVIVAL OF BRITAIN, THE (Bryan B. Monahan) £7.80. A series of articles from the *Social Creditor* dealing with political and economic threats to Britain's survival and offering solutions to the problem of inflation. 1971, viii, 124pp.

THOUGHT CRIMES: THE KEEGSTRA CASE (Doug Christie) £2.40. The text of Doug Christie's courtroom defence of the persecuted Canadian schoolteacher Jim Keegstra. 1986, 34pp.

THUS SPOKE ZARATHUSTRA (Friedrich Nietzsche) £6.99. The doctrine of racial progress and the development of the Superman. This ed 1969, 343pp

TRAP, THE (Sir James Goldsmith) £7.99. A well-known businessman defends the concept of the nation state and points out the perils of free trade, particularly from the far East. 1994, 216pp.

TREASON AT MAASTRICHT (Rodney Atkinson & Norris McWhirter) £3.50. A complete and detailed exposure of the illegal and unconstitutional action of the Government in signing the Treaty of Maastricht. 1994 123pp.

USES OF RELIGION, THE (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £1.00. Examines the value of religion as a socially cohesive force. 1982, 36pp.

VENTILATIONS (Wilmot Robertson) £4.50. The sequel to the same author's widely acclaimed *The Dispossessed Majority*. Revised edition 1982, 113pp.

VICTIM OF THE HOLOCAUST (Hans Peter Rullman) £3.50. The story of the framing of John Demjanjuk. 1987, 78pp.

VIKING LORDS OF THE SEAS, THE (Yves Cohar) £6.95. A beautifully illustrated book showing that the Vikings were more than simply plunderers. They were explorers and traders with a literature and a system of beliefs. 1992, 175pp.

WALL STREET AND THE BOLSHEVIK REVOLUTION (Anthony C. Sutton) £6.80. The links between Wall Street finance and the Russian Revolution are proved beyond all question by an established scholar. 1974, 228pp.

WALL STREET AND THE RISE OF HITLER (Anthony C. Sutton) £6.80. A book that has aroused considerable controversy by its suggestion that Hitler had big financial backing from Wall Street. Read what he says and decide for yourself. 1976, 220pp.

WAR LORDS OF WASHINGTON (Curtis B. Dall) £2.60. In an interview with Anthony J. Hilder, Roosevelt's son-in-law exposes FDR's responsibility for Pearl Harbour. n.d., 45pp.

WEALTH, VIRTUAL WEALTH AND DEBT (Frederick Soddy) £10.00. The author, a Nobel prize-winner in chemistry, points the way to a satisfactory and beneficial financial system. A long-established classic. 1926 (Rep. 1983), 320pp.

YESTERDAY & TOMORROW £4.50. A collection of articles on 'The Tradition of National Revolution'. Featured authors include Belloc, Chesterton, Fr. Fahey and Corneliu Codreanu. 1994, 80pp.

ZIONIST CONNECTION, THE (Alfred M. Lilienthal) £13.00. The second edition of a sensational exposure by a non-Zionist Jew of Zionist power politics. A real eye-opener from an author who can't be labelled 'anti-semitic'! 1982, 904pp.

ZIONIST FACTOR, THE (Ivor Benson) £10.40. New and much improved edition of a book first published in 1986. A study of the Jewish presence in 20th century history, covering such events as the Bolshevik Revolution, the creation of Israel, the fall of Rhodesia and the relationship between communism and capitalism. 1992, iii, 205pp.

ZIONIST TERROR NETWORK, THE £2.00. A 12 page report on the murderous activities in the United States of the Jewish Defence League, compiled by the Institute for Historical Review..

CANDIDATES STILL WANTED

The British National Party is at present well on course to achieve its target of fighting fifty seats in the coming general election. Fund-raising is proceeding at a most encouraging rate, though more still needs to be donated and/or pledged. Branches are getting organised and a general atmosphere of enthusiasm and urgency is being generated. Some media representatives who have followed the party's progress in its election preparations have seemed surprised that its declaration of intent to fight the fifty and qualify for broadcasting time is more than the mere 'bluff' they supposed it to be when the announcement was first made.

In the majority of areas, seats have now been selected and candidates chosen. There are still, however, just a few areas where suitable candidates have not yet been found — mainly for the reason that those otherwise qualified have been prevented for reasons of their jobs from accepting adoption.

The party is therefore inviting applications from would-be candidates to fill these vacancies. The applicant may be male or female and must be on the electoral register in his or her own area. He or she may not be an undischarged bankrupt nor have any background of lawbreaking which reflects adversely on his or her personal character. Applications from homosexuals or lesbians will *not* be considered.

You can stand anywhere!

Despite the condition about local electoral registration, a candidate living in

any part of the United Kingdom can in fact stand for parliament in any other part — already the party has adopted candidates who do not reside in the areas in which they will be standing.

Those considering whether to apply should not be shy about inexperience. Some of the party's most successful candidates in elections in the past, including the victorious Derek Beckon, have had no previous experience as such prior to their standing. Neither should the would-be applicant lack confidence in his or her ability. One has only to look at the calibre (or lack of it) of the majority of MPs currently sitting at Westminster to realise that BNP members have no reason to feel inferior about their ability to serve as representatives of the British people.

If any would-be candidates are apprehensive about the publicity they would attract by standing for the BNP, and possible ramifications for them in their employment, the solution to this problem is to apply for a candidature in a different part of the country. Only leading national party officials are likely to receive nationwide publicity in the election campaign; ordinary candidates would only be publicised, at the most, in the local papers of the areas where they would be standing.

So — do you think you have it within you to be a BNP candidate? Write to the party (address on page 24) and provide your essential personal details — together with a recent photograph. And don't be timid!

Remember the BNP in your will!

We wish all our readers a long life, but none of us can stay on this earth for ever. Have you ever thought about how you can help the cause when you are gone?

The British National Party has benefitted immensely from a few of its supporters remembering the party in their wills. In most cases the money has been invested so as to guarantee the party a regular income, rather than being spent all in one go.

Why not become one of these benefactors yourself? Whatever you do, make sure you do not die intestate and so let your estate go to prop up the anti-British Establishment!

It is natural that if you have a family you should wish to provide for them, but nevertheless you may well like to set aside something for the good cause as well. If, on the other hand, you have no next of kin, there is all the more reason for ensuring that the Cause gets something by which to remember you.

If you require any advice on this matter, please ring or write in and we will be pleased to help.

BUILD THE BNP
READ AND SELL

BRITISH NATIONALIST

The monthly official BNP newspaper. Eight big pages packed with news and facts the controlled mass media don't want you to know.

The latest front page story — "Blacks Desperate To Go Home!" grabbed national headlines and featured on prime-time TV news broadcasts as the liberals found themselves wrong-footed by agreement between many Blacks and the British National Party.

**British Nationalist —
the Voice of Britain!**

For sample copy, bulk rates and subscription details, send 3 first class stamps or 2 IRCs to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

How to obtain *Spearhead*

Don't miss your monthly copy of *Spearhead* — Britain's premier nationalist magazine. Becoming a subscriber guarantees that you'll keep your collection up to date with regular copies 'hot off the press.' And income from subscriptions helps to maintain *Spearhead*'s long record of frank and fearless comment on the state of Britain and the world. So subscribe today!

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick box where applicable):-

British Isles: £16.50 Overseas surface mail: £18.60 Unsealed air mail Europe: £19.66
Unsealed air mail Middle East, Africa, N & S America: £26.30 Unsealed air mail Australasia, Far East £27.90

PLEASE NOTE: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in pounds sterling. If remittance is in any other currency, an additional banker's charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Discounts for bulk purchases as follows:- 10-19 copies: 80p each; 20-49 copies: 72p each; 50-99 copies: 60p each; 100-199 copies: 50p each; 200-499 copies: 43p each; 500 copies or over: 40p each (For advice on postal rates please contact our office).

All cheques and postal or money orders should be made out to *Spearhead* and sent to *Spearhead* c/o PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please do not include a payment for *Spearhead* in a remittance which includes payment for other items.

Around the constituencies

The British National Party held a successful meeting in Chingford (N.E. London) last month, at which it was announced that Alan Gould would be standing as candidate for the local constituency in the coming general election.

Speakers were Tony Lecomber and Richard Edmonds, both of whom spoke about the desire of great numbers of black people in Britain to fall in with the BNP's policy on repatriation and accept help in returning home to their countries of origin. Mr. Edmonds, in addition, stressed the urgency of local members giving all possible effort to support the party's electoral campaign in the area.

The meeting was attended by just over 30 people and the collection raised an excellent £170.

Activities have been stepping up in Stoke-on-Trent, where Steven Batkin will be standing as BNP candidate in the Stoke South constituency. The



STOKE SALES TEAM

Local members seen here selling *British Nationalist* in Longton town centre

party's Stoke group is small but very keen, and their election campaign will be closely watched. Regular leafleting and paper sales are being held in the area and paper sellers report an excellent response from the local public.

London activists have recently extended their paper sales to new areas. Last month *British Nationalist* was sold on the doorstep in Beckton, part of East Ham constituency, where Linda Miller will be BNP candidate, and Bermondsey, where Richard Edmonds will be standing. In both cases the sales were a tremendous success, with very few white householders not buying the paper. Provincial units take note — it really isn't so difficult!

Quote of the month

"A Conservative victory at the next general election is vital to the interests of the State of Israel."

(Tory Party leaflet as reported in the *Jewish Chronicle*, September 20th).

National Mosley Centennial Day Nov. 16, 1996



Sir Oswald Mosley (1896 - 1996)

Sir Oswald Mosley was born on Nov. 16, 1896. In his honor and as part of this great day of celebration, we offer important Mosley books at bargain prices.

Selections From BUF Quarterly Mosley's theoretical journal, with articles by such great thinkers of the 1930s as A.K. Chesterton, Raven Thomson, Ezra Pound, Mosley, and others, 20 photos, 137 pages, Only £5

Tomorrow We Live, Mosley's program for today's problems, unemployment due to cheap imports and immigration Only £3.

Best of Comrade, articles selected from *Comrade*, the Mosley veterans magazine. 87 dramatic photos of BUF activity, 32 pages, (large magazine format), Only £3.

Mosley's Blackshirts, why so many people joined the BUF, stories by a shopkeeper, housewife, farmer, teacher, etc. Only £3

Order from:
Dr. E.R. Fields
P.O. Box 1211
Marietta, Ga. 30061 U.S.A.

General Election Fund: target now £6,003.00

Contributions last month to the British National Party's General Election Fund totalled an excellent £2,162.00, including two single donations of £2,000 each. This brings the total raised so far to £3997.00. £6,003.00 is therefore still needed for the fund to reach its ultimate target of £10,000.

We remind readers that this fund is entirely separate from local funds, now in operation to pay for local candidates. The fund is intended to cover vital expenses that will be involved in conducting the election campaign nationally, including production of the election broadcasts, maintenance of special telephone lines, the printing of the election manifesto, sundry travelling costs, and much more.

Donations should be marked 'General Election Fund' and sent to c/o PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please give generously and please give now!



**"I want my grandchildren to grow up in
a country in which my grandparents
would still feel at home."**



**Will they? Only if people like you
join the British National Party**

For more information send 50p,
or a larger donation, to:-
The Secretary
c/o P.O. Box 117,
WELLING
KENT DA16 3DW
'PHONE 0374-454893

Name.....

Address.....

.....

I enclose